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ABSTRACT

Background: Obestatin (OB) is a novel anorexiogenic peptide produced in the stomach. It has been shown to regulate glucose and lipid metabolism. However, its potential role in cardiovascular control is still not clear and controversial.

Aim of the work: To evaluate the in vivo and in vitro effects of Obestatin on cardiovascular system in both normal and diabetic rats. Also, to identify the possible mechanism of its action.

Material and methods: 40 healthy male albino rats weighing 180-200 gm. were used and divided into two main groups: In vivo experiments subdivided into normal (n= 10 ) and Type II Diabetes induced groups (n=10) In which heart rate and blood pressure were recorded before and after OB (100 Mg/kg body weight) injection , In vitro experiments subdivided into normal (n= 10) and Type II Diabetes induced group ( n= 10) in which the effect of OB (1 nmol/l) alone and its effect in presence of β –blocker propranolol (30Mmol/l) and α blocker prazosin (3Mmol/l) on amplitude and frequency of cardiac contractility were studied ,also effects of OB alone (100 pmol/l) and in presence of LNAME(0.3Mmol/l) on contraction induced by phenylephrine (10 Mmol/L) of isolated thoracic aorta. Type II Diabetes induced by feeding rats for two weeks a high-fat diet (58% fat, 25% protein, 17% carbohydrates as percentage of total calories). On day 13, rats were given a single intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin (25 mg/kg body).

Results: Obestatin injection showed no significant difference on blood pressure and heart rate in both normal and diabetic rats, However in vitro studies showed that OB has both positive inotropic and chronotropic effects on isolated heart of both normal and diabetic rats which were blocked by propranolol but not by prazocin. Moreover, OB produced significant vascular relaxation of isolated rat thoracic aorta of the normal group which was attenuated by L-NAME in normal rats. However, the relaxation effect of OB was much weaker in diabetic rats and was blocked by L-NAME.

Conclusion: Obestatin improves cardiac function and exerts vasodilator effects via nitric oxide (NO) release, so it may be decrease systemic vascular resistance in type II diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Obestatin (OB) is a novel peptide (23 amino acid), released in the oxyntic mucosa of the stomach (1,2,3). Zhang et al. (4) firstly described it as a ghrelin-associated peptide, encoded by the same gene as ghrelin, and called it obestatin (derived from obese and statin) (4,5) OB showed anti-ghrelin effects such as depressed food intake, decreased jejunal contraction, suppressed body-weight gain when both peptides were coadministered (6).
Ghrelin was known to have role in cardiovascular and sympathetic regulations (7), As improving cardiac function (8), inhibiting the apoptosis of myocardial cell (9), decreasing peripheral artery resistance (10), and has protecting role against ischemia-reperfusion injury (11).

However, the relationship between obestatin and cardiovascular control was not clear and the previous studies aimed to explore the correlationships between obestatin and cardiovascular regulation were scarce and controversy. (7).

Iglesias et al. (12) found that exogenous obestatin had no effect on cell cycle or viability in the HL-1 cardiac muscle cell line and thought that obestatin was not a relevant metabolic or viability modifier for cardiomyocytes as ghrelin . However, Sazdova et al. (13) reported that obestatin could directly enhance the effect of myocardial β adrenergic signaling. Furthermore, Alloatti’s group found that obestatin could activate some antiapoptotic signaling pathways and protect cardiac cell against myocardial injury and apoptosis induced by ischemia-reperfusion (14).

Type II diabetic patients have risk of cardiovascular disease mortality more than double compared with that in age-matched subjects. Also, Stroke events and all manifestations of coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction (MI), sudden death, and angina pectoris are at least twofold more common in patients with type 2 diabetes than in nondiabetic individuals (15) Recently, Aragno et al. (2) found that OB could exert a beneficial effect against the alterations of contractility and β adrenergic response in the heart of streptozotocin-treated diabetic rats.

OB was also supposed to play a role in blood pressure regulation in both rats and humans, however, The relationship between OB and blood pressure regulation was indeterminate (7).

Anderwald-Stadler et al. (16) firstly reported that fasting plasma OB level was negatively correlated with systolic blood pressure in insulin-resistant humans. However, Ren et al. (17) also found that fasting plasma OB level was significantly higher in pregnant women with pregnancy-induced hypertension compared with normotensive pregnant women. Furthermore, Li et al. (18) found that fasting plasma OB level was positively correlated with systolic blood pressure in spontaneously hypertensive rat and was significantly higher compared with that of Wistar–Kyoto rat. In contrary, Li et al. (19) reported that intravenous bolus of OB did not change the blood pressure level in spontaneously hypertensive rats. In addition Li et al. (20) showed no significant difference in fasting OB level between hypertension and control.

On the face of this controversy, this study was done to answer many confliction or unknown about the relationship between OB and cardiovascular system and also to identify the possible mechanism of action in normal and diabetic rats.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Animals

This study was carried out on a total number of 40 adult male albino rats weighing 180-200 gm. They were obtained from the animal house from faculty of veterinary medicine of Zagazig University. The animals were kept in steel wire cages (7-8 cage) in the animal house in faculty of medicine of Zagazig University under hygienic conditions. Animals were fed standard chow diet which consists of (25.8% protein, 62.8% carbohydrates and 11.4% fat (total 12.6 KJ/g) (21) prior to the dietary manipulation for induction of type II diabetes. and had free access to water, kept at room temperature and were maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle.

The experimental protocol was approved by physiology department and by local medical ethics committee in faculty of medicine of Zagazig University (Institutional Review Board, IRB).

Protocol and Experimental groups:

Animals were divided into two main groups: In vivo studies (n= 20) subdivided
into normal and Diabetic induced groups (n=10): In each group the heart rate and blood pressure were recorded before and after single OB injection in a dose of 100 Mg/kg body weight (19) (Sigma chemical co., St.louis USA, dissolved in distilled water.). In vitro studies (n=20) also subdivided into normal and Diabetic induced groups (n= 10): In each group the heart was excised and used for study the effect of OB alone (1 nmol/l ) (13) and in presence of β -blocker propranolol Hcl ( 30 Mmol/l) and α blocker prazocin (3Mmol/l) (sigma chemical, st.louis, MO, sigma – Aldrich, USA ). (13), on amplitude and frequency of cardiac contractility in basal conditions. Also, in each group the thoracic aorta was excised and used for Study the effect of OB alone (100 pmol/l) (21) and in presence of L-NAME nitric oxide synthase inhibitor (0.3 Mmol/l) (Fluka chemei Gmbh Sigma –Aldrich , Switzerland) (21) on top of phenylephrine (sigma chemical, st.louis, MO, sigma – Aldrich, USA) (10 Mmol/l) (21) induced contraction of isolated rat thoracic aorta.

Measurement of Blood Pressure (BP) according to Zorniak et al. (27) and Parasuraman and Raveendran (28)

An overnight fasted (8–10 h) each rat was anesthetized with urethane (1200 mg/kg), and placed on a suitable rodent none electrically conductive surgical table. The skin on the ventral side of the neck is shaved and disinfected. The skin was carefully cut open (1.5–2 cm), and a slit incision was made in the platysma muscles. The trachea was identified, small incision was made on the cartilage tissue, and the tracheostomy was performed using a small piece of tracheal intubation tube.

One side of the carotid artery was separated from the adjacent connective tissue, and its cephalic end was tied and the cardiac end was clamped with a bulldog clamp and cannulated using a heparinized cannula (0.5 IU/ml in saline).

The other end of the cannula connected to a three-way stopcock connected to the pressure transducer and a syringe filled with heparinized saline. Then the carotid artery cannulation site was tied with a thread without obstructing the blood flow in the carotid cannula. Then bulldog clamp was released slowly, ensuring that there was no bleeding at the cannulation site.

After cannulation, the animal is connected to the Power Lab (AD Instruments Pty Ltd, Australia) to record the BP. The pressure cuff of the sphygmomanometer was connected to the pressure transducer. Then, the pressure transducer is checked by inflating to a known pressure level. The calibration between the voltage (millivolts) and the pressure (mmHg), and the results are automatically calculated by power Lab software.

After recording the baseline blood pressure, obestatin was injected by removing the saline filled Syringe and placing the obestatin filled one instead in a dose of (100 mg/kg) ,then blood pressure was measured again (29,2).

Recording ECG and heart rate

Three-lead bipolar ECG was used in electrocardiography. Positive, negative, and reference electrocardiogram electrodes were
placed at the left foreleg, right foreleg, and left thigh, respectively, to record electrocardiogram (30, 31,28).

**Isolated heart preparations** Animals were sacrificed by decapitation, then rapid thoracotomy was performed and the heart was rapidly excised by cutting the great vessels, placed in Krebs Ringer bicarbonate solution which has the following composition (NaCl 6.895 gm/L, KCl 0.350 gm/L, CaCl2 0.280 gm/L, NaH2PO4 0.160 gm/L, MgSO4 0.290 gm/L, NaHCO3 2.1gm/L, Glucose 2.1 gm/L) (32) gassed with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, pH=7.4 then cleaned from lung tissue and pericardium, was applied to aortic cannula at 37°C and perfused by langendorff’s technique (AD Instruments Pty Ltd, Australia). The heart was allowed to stabilize for 5 minutes before any manipulation (33). An isotonic lever was attached to the ventricular apex and used to record the developed tension (amplitude of contraction) on power lap connected to computer.

Cardiac performance was assessed by recording Amplitude of contraction (mm) and Frequency (beat/minute) before and after any drug given: OB was applied and then after recording its effect and wash, each of blockers (propranolol and prazocin) applied separately first to record their effects then OB and blocker applied together.

**Isolated aortic strips preparations:**

After excision of the thoracic aorta, about 3 cm segment was carefully dissected from connective tissue and fat and. Then the strip was cut spirally without damaging the endothelium. Rings were suspended between a force transducer and a fixed support in organ bath chambers containing 5 mL modified Krebs–Henseleit buffer (NaCl6.970 gm/L, KCl 0.350 gm/L, NaH2PO4 0.140 gm/L, MgSO4 0.280 gm/L, NaHCO3 2.1 gm/L, Glucose 2 gm/L, CaCl2 (25% solution) 0.93 ml/L (34) (PH=7.4 and bubbled with carbogen 95% O2 and 5%CO2), at 37°C. Data were recorded using Power Lab. Vessels were held at a resting tension of 1 g (which was found to be optimal in preliminary experiments) and allowed to equilibrate for at least one hour before any manipulation (35, 22).

Following washout and re-equilibrium, a bolus dose of phenylephrine (PE, 10 mmol-L-1) was added to produce maximal contraction. Obestatin (100 Pmol/l) (22) was applied alone and in presence of L-NAME on top of steady contractions induced by PE.

**Sampling of blood:** Blood samples (were taken from the cannula after measuring BP and tracing ECG and also after scarification of rats for in vitro experiments) and were allowed to clot for 2 hours at room temperature before centrifuging for 20 minutes at approximately 500 rpm. The separated serum was stored at -20°C.

**Serum analysis:** Insulin levels: according to Temple et al (36) using INS-EASIA, KAP1251 (BioSource Europe S.A).

- Glucose levels: according to Tietz (37) using glucose enzymatic (GOD-PAP)-liquizyme rat Kits (Biotechnology, Egypt).

Calculation of Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) according to the equation of Sun et al. (38) modification on Matthews et al. (39) [HOMA-IR = insulin (μU/mL) x glucose (mg/dL) /405].

**Statistical analysis:** Results were presented as mean ± S.D. Differences between in vivo and in vitro normal and diabetics groups were investigated using paired and unpaired t-tests. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis is done by using SPSS program (20) (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL,USA).

**RESULTS**

Table (1) illustrates serum glucose (mg/dL), insulin (MIU/L) and HOMA-IR parameters, regarding serum glucose and HOMA-IR, they were significantly (P<0.001) higher in diabetic rats (276 ± 23.78 & 8.9±1.25 respectively) vs. normal (76.1±10.86 & 2.31±0.37 respectively), however no significant difference (p>0.05) in insulin levels between both groups.

**In vivo studies results:** Table (2), and traces (1.2.3 &4) show: systolic blood pressure SBP
(mmhg), diastolic blood pressure DBP (mmhg), mean arterial pressure MAP (mmhg) and heart rate HR (beat / minute) with OB injection in normal and diabetic groups; there was no significant difference (p >0.05) between mean values of SBP, DBP, MAP and HR after OB injection as compared with baseline in both normal and diabetic groups.

**In vitro studies results:** Table (3) and traces (5, 6, 7, 8, 9 &10) illustrates effects of OB alone and in presence of propranolol and prazosin on amplitude and frequency of cardiac contractility of isolated rat heart in normal and diabetic groups. As expected, mean value of amplitude and frequency of basal contractility in diabetic group was significantly lower (P <0.001, p < 0.01 respectively ) than that of normal group ,and also mean value of amplitude and frequency after obestatin were significantly higher ( p< 0.001) than that of before obestatin in both groups, interestingly, in diabetic rats effects of OB on both amplitude and frequency were significantly (P <0.001) higher than that in normal group as % of change in mean value of amplitude and frequency changed from (72.4±19.86 & 35.34±10.66 respectively) in normal rats to (188.97± 29.17 & 98.8 ±25.4 respectively) in diabetic group. in addition ,these positive inotropic and chronotropic effects of obestatin were completely abolished by propranolol ( p > 0.05) in both groups, however prazocin didn’t alter OB effects as in normal rats % of change in mean value of amplitude and frequency with prazosin +OB were (68.5±21.1 & 32.48± 9.17 respectively) and that with OB alone were (72.4±19.86 & 35.34±10.66 respectively) also, in diabetic rats % of change in mean value of amplitude and frequency with prazosin +OB were (157.85 ±42.5& 91.03±15.5 respectively) and that with OB alone were (188.97± 29.17& 98.8 ±25.4 respectively).

However, Mean value of amplitude and frequency with propranolol alone was significantly lower (p < 0.001) than that of before propranolol, however no change of mean value of amplitude and frequency after prazosin alone (p > 0.05) in both groups.

Table (4) & traces (11,,12,13&14): concerning effects of OB alone and in presence of LNAME on Amplitude of PE induced contraction of isolated rat thoracic aorta, it was found that OB significantly (p<0.001) has relaxing effect on aorta of both normal and diabetic, % of relaxation in normal rats is significantly more than that in diabetic ones , moreover, L-NAME partially reduced the relaxation effect of OB ( p <0.01) in normal however greatly block this effect in diabetic rats.
Table (1) : mean ±SD of serum glucose, serum insulin and HOMA-IR in normal & diabetic rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal rats (n=20)</th>
<th>Diabetic rats (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>76.1±10.86</td>
<td>276 ± 23.78&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serum insulin (MIU/L)</td>
<td>12.33±0.99</td>
<td>12.88±1.27&lt;sup&gt;NS&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>2.31±0.37</td>
<td>8.9±1.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>=significant vs. normal, NS=non-significant vs. normal

Table (2): mean±SD of SBP, DBP, MAP and HR with OB injection in normal and diabetic group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SBP</th>
<th>DBP</th>
<th>MABP</th>
<th>Heart rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>OB</td>
<td>control</td>
<td>OB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (n=10)</td>
<td>88.1±12.63</td>
<td>86.6±11.2&lt;sup&gt;4NS&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>46.6±10.98</td>
<td>44.8±11.55&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic (n=10)</td>
<td>92.12±7.69</td>
<td>94.37±7.4&lt;sup&gt;Ns&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>51.2±7.1</td>
<td>50.7±6.9&lt;sup&gt;NS&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ns = non-significant (P > 0.05) vs. control

Table (3): mean±SD of amplitude and frequency of cardiac contractility of isolated rat heart with OB, Propranolol and prazocin in normal and diabetic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal rats (n=10)</th>
<th>Diabetic rats (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>amplitude</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (basal contractility)</td>
<td>1.4±0.4</td>
<td>164±34.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB</td>
<td>2.38±0.57&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>221±47.64&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>72.4±19.86</td>
<td>35.34±10.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.6± 0.38</td>
<td>159±34.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>90.5±23.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>50.55± 5.46</td>
<td>43.44 ±10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.4± 0.4</td>
<td>122.5±23.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol +OB</td>
<td>1.37±0.42&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>121.8±23.76&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>80.4± 1.4</td>
<td>161± 32.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prazosin+ OB</td>
<td>2.42± 0.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>211.9±37.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of change</td>
<td>68.5±21.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.48±9.17&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>= significant Vs. normal rats, <sup>b</sup>= significant Vs. Control, <sup>c</sup>= non-significant Vs. Control, <sup>d</sup>=non-significant vs. % of change of OB alone
Table (4): mean±SD of Amplitude of PE induced contraction of isolated rat thoracic aorta (in mm) with OB and LNAME in normal & diabetic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal rats (N=10)</th>
<th>Diabetic rats (N=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9.2±2.4</td>
<td>8.5±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB</td>
<td>0.91±0.23a</td>
<td>7.63±1.24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of relaxation</td>
<td>90.02±0.84</td>
<td>9.95±2.53c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>9.55±1.98</td>
<td>7.25±2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB and L-NAME.</td>
<td>8.79±1.81a</td>
<td>7.21±2.14 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of relaxation</td>
<td>8.01±1.79b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a=significant Vs. control, b= significant Vs. % of relaxation of OB alone, c= significant with % of relaxation of normal, NS= non-significant Vs. Control


Tracing (3): ECG of normal rat.
Tracing (4): ECG of diabetic rat.

Tracing (5): effect of OB on spontaneous contractility of isolated heart of normal rat.

Tracing (6): effect of OB in presence of propranolol on spontaneous contractility of isolated heart of normal rat.
Tracing (7): effect of OB in presence of prazocin on spontaneous contractility of isolated heart of normal rat

Tracing (8): effect of OB on spontaneous contractility of isolated heart of diabetic rat

Tracing (9): effect of OB in presence of propranolol on spontaneous contractility of isolated heart of diabetic rat
Tracing (10): effect of OB in presence of prazocin on spontaneous contractility of isolated heart of diabetic rat.

Tracing (11): effect of OB on PE induced contraction of isolated thoracic aorta of normal rat.

Tracing (12): effect of OB in presence of L-NAME on PE induced contraction of isolated thoracic aorta of normal rat.
DISCUSSION
This study has demonstrated that high-fat feeding in combination with a low dose of STZ induced type 2 diabetes model which was proved by hyperglycaemia, normoinsulinaemia and insulin resistance (increased HOMA-IR).
As regard in vivo studies, it was found that no significant effect of single OB injection on SBP, DBP, MAP and HR in both normal and diabetic rats.
These results come in agreement with Li et al. (19) who found that acute bolus intravenous injection of OB had no significant effects on MAP or HR in spontaneously hypertensive rats. However, Anderwald-Stadler et al. (16) firstly reported that fasting plasma OB level was negatively correlated with SBP in insulin resistant human.
On the other hand, Ren et al., (17) showed that OB concentrations were positively correlated with systemic blood pressure and MAP in normal pregnant women and patients with PIH, but not in non-pregnant women. Furthermore, they found that pregnant women with PIH had significantly higher levels of OB compared with normal pregnant women. They also added that in the 3 or 5 days after delivery, there was no significant difference between

Tracing (13): effect of OB on PE induced contraction of isolated thoracic aorta of diabetic rat.

Tracing (14): effect of OB in presence of L-NAME on PE induced contraction of isolated thoracic aorta of diabetic rat.
normal pregnant women group and the PIH women group.
Similarly, Li et al. (18) found that fasting plasma OB levels was significantly higher in spontaneously hypertensive rats than Wistar-Kyoto rats and they added that SBP was positively correlated with OB levels and they suggested that there is a disturbance of ghrelin and OB in the circulation of spontaneously hypertensive rats and the ghrelin/OB system might play a role in blood pressure regulation.
Furthermore, Li et al. (20) found that the fasting plasma ghrelin level and the ratio of ghrelin to OB were significantly lower in patients with mild to moderate untreated hypertension compared with those of the control group. They added that the fasting OB level was lower in the hypertension group compared with the control group, but the difference between them was not significant.

This discrepancy between studies could be due to the different species, cohorts studied or different pathophysiologic mechanisms between the different types of hypertension such as PIH and essential hypertension Li et al., (18).

The results of this study may be explained by: Firstly, most actions of obestatin occurred locally because of its short half-life in the circulation as about 2 minutes (40). Secondly, in this study we tried only intravenous injection protocol but other studies used other protocols as intraperitoneal and intracerebroventricular administration of OB (4). Thirdly, these results depended on acute single injection of OB and it is possible that chronic effect of OB may give different results. Fourthly, the difference of species between humans, rats and other animals may be another reason (18).

Concerning in vitro studies, it was found that basal contractility of diabetic heart was significantly decreased than that in normal rats. This finding was supported by Belke et al. (41) who found that Cardiac mechanical performance was significantly reduced in isolated diabetic rat hearts than that of the control group.

In addition, this study also found that obestatin had positive inotropic and chronotropic effects on isolated rat heart of both normal and diabetic groups by increasing significantly both the amplitude and frequency of cardiac contractility. This positive inotropic and chronotropic effects were more evident in diabetic rats when compared to the normal ones. Moreover, the effect of OB was completely abolished by pretreatment with propranolol (B blocker), while the alpha blocker prazocin didn't change the inotropic nor the chronotropic effects of OB.

These results come in accordance with Sazdova et al. (13) who applied increasing amounts of OB on excised frog heart preparations and found that it significantly enhanced the force and rate of their contractions. Moreover, they found that the effect of OB on the heart was completely inhibited by pretreatment with propranolol. While in presence of prazocin, they found that the positive inotropic and chronotropic effects were similar to OB application alone.

However, Iglesias et al. (12) found that exogenous OB had no effect on cell cycle or viability in the HL-1 cardiac muscle cell line and thought that OB was not a relevant metabolic or viability modifier for cardiomyocytes as ghrelin.

On the other hand, Argano et al. (2) recently found that OB displayed a beneficial effect against the alterations of contractility and β-adrenergic response in the heart of STZ treated diabetic rats. They added that there were molecular mechanisms leading to myocardial dysfunction observed in diabetic myocardial tissue include an unbalance between the pro-oxidant and antioxidant compounds and increased inflammatory process, in terms of TNF-α plasma levels and NFkB activation, so the protective effect is related to the ability of OB to restore oxidative balance and to promote phosphorylation/modulation of AMPK and
The beneficial effect of OB could be due to its ability to counteract the switch of cardiac myosin heavy chain gene expression from the α to the β-MHC isoform, and the increase of profibrogenic growth factors, such as TGFβ1 and CTGF, observed in diabetic myocardial tissue. In addition, OB was also able to restore the β-adrenergic response by promoting recovery of β1-adrenoreceptors protein expression (2).

Therefore, it could be concluded that the positive inotropic and chronotropic effects of OB were mediated by B-adrenergic receptors and not through alpha receptors and this was supported by Sazdova et al. (13) who stated that OB via a Gi-protein sensitive signaling increases the release of epinephrine from heart sympathetic autonomic neurons as a neuro transmitter that act mainly via B-adrenergic receptors. Moreover, there is a complex OB receptor/β-adrenergic receptor potentiation. And so, the observed OB induced β-adrenergic receptor stimulation increases the force and rate of heart contractions by many mechanisms via Gs-protein dependent stimulation of adenylate cyclase that increases the cAMP level and thus activates PKA (CAMP dependent protein kinase). PKA further phosphorylates several functionally essential cardiac proteins like L-type Ca2+ channel (42,43), ryanodine receptor (44), phospholamban, a regulatory protein of sarco-endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase (45), troponin (46) and/or regulatory proteins like protein phosphatase inhibitor-1 (47), and myosin binding protein-C (48). Moreover, OB may enhance the effect of β-adrenergic signaling by up-regulating B-adrenoreceptors (49).

As regard the effect of OB on blood vessels, it was found that OB caused significant vascular relaxation in thoracic aorta on top of PE induced contraction in normal rats and this relaxation was significantly attenuated by pretreatment with L-NAME. While in diabetic rats, the relaxation response of isolated thoracic aorta to OB was significantly lower than that of normal ones. However this relaxation response was greatly abolished by L-NAME. These results are supported by Agnew et al., (22) who found that OB exerted significant vasorelaxant effect in rat aorta which was endothelium dependent and involved production of NO (50). It can be assumed that the relaxation response of OB is mediated by endothelium dependent NO release via a signalling cascade involving binding to an adenylate cyclase (AC)-linked G Protein Coupled Receptor (GPCR), thereby promoting PI3K/PKB-, Ca2+-dependent eNOS activation. Relaxations mediated by NO are generally associated with stimulation of the cytosolic soluble guanylate cyclase in the vascular smooth muscle and the subsequent cGMP dependent activation of CGMP dependent protein kinase G (PKG) (51).

The involvement of this pathway in OB-induced relaxation was confirmed recently by Agnew et al. (22) experiment with guanylate cyclase inhibitor (ODQ). PKG is then thought to mediate smooth muscle relaxation through several actions on Ca2+ signalling, which either lower cytosolic Ca2+ (e.g. increased uptake by the sarcoplasmic reticulum, inactivation of plasma membrane voltage-gated Ca2+ channels) or desensitize the contractile apparatus (e.g. stimulation of myosin light chain phosphatase, inhibition of Rho kinase) (52).

The endothelial damage caused by type II diabetes was proved by Xiong et al. (53) who found that endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation responses to acetylcholine were markedly attenuated in aortic rings from diabetic rats with 2 weeks duration and was retained to 4- and 8-weeks diabetic duration compared with their duration-matched control. Therefore, when NO production is greatly diminished as in type II diabetes due to endothelial damage or by application of NO blocker as L-NAME, the effect of OB will be markedly attenuated (22).
In support with these results, Gu et al (54) deduced that the low level of plasma OB might be related to early atherosclerosis in patients with T2DM via increasing intima-media thickness level, and elevated plasma OB levels might protect T2DM patients against carotid atherosclerosis to some extent. OB together with TNF-α treatment even decreased vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 expression and increase binding of LDL to macrophages, indicating the regulation of obestatin in the early atherogenic processes (55).

In conclusion, the major findings of this study is that OB improves cardiac performance in type II diabetes also, exerts significant vasorelaxant effects via activation of a specific endothelial NO signalling. This may not only have relevance to normal regulation of blood pressure but is likely to also extend to type II diabetes, a condition characterized by reduced endothelial NO production and the frequent development of macrovascular and microvascular complications.
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