

DOI

Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(139-144) Supplement Issue

Manuscript ID ZUMJ-1903-1128 (R2)

10.21608/zumj.2020.10825.1128

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Depression in Pre Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease in Children and Adolescents in Zagazig University Hospitals

⁽¹⁾Azza Ali Khalel,⁽²⁾Eman Hassan Roshdy,⁽¹⁾Ahmed Abdelsamad Alhowala and ⁽³⁾Abdualaziz Ahmed Mohammed Aburass

⁽¹⁾Department of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine –ZagazigUniversity, Egypt.

⁽²⁾Department of Psychiatric, Faculty of Medicine – Zagazig University, Egypt.

⁽³⁾Department of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine - Al-Mergeb University

Stated in Libya.

Corresponding author: Dr Abdualaziz Ahmed Mohammed Aburass. MBBCH – Faculty of Medicine – Zawia University - Libya. Resident of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine -Zagazig University. Postgraduate student(master degree)- Faculty of Medicine – Zagazig University. Tripoli, Libya. e-mail: azizaborass@gmail.com.

Submit Date	2019-03-22
Revise Date	2020-01-04
Accept Date	2020-01-07

ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a major health problem worldwide. Although relatively uncommon in children, it can be a devastating illness with many long-term consequences. Children with chronic kidney disease often have growth restriction, multiple surgical scars, and frequently miss school and other childhood activities.

Objectives: to investigate depressive symptoms in children and adolescents with CKD and compare these values to those of healthy controls.

Patients and Methods: This cross-sectional study was carried out on 50 child admitted at the Nephrology Unit of Pediatrics Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University and they were classified into: group 1 included 25 child with CKD based on the National Kidney Foundation's Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Practice classification, 11 male and 14 female ranged ages from 9 to 18 years old from Pediatric Nephrology Unit. Group 2 included 25 apparently healthy child, 11 male and 14 female ranged matched to the patients group regarding to age and

sex. **Results**: There were significant differences between CKD and control groups regarding depression score and depression grade and there were a significant differences between CKD subclasses and control groups regarding depression score and depression grade.



Conclusions: The CKD contributing to a higher frequency of depression. **Keywords**: Depression, Chronic Kidney Disease, Children And Adolescents.

INTRODUCTION

KD is a major public health problem worldwide and extensive epidemiological research in the adult population is available. In contrast, little is known about the epidemiology of CKD in the pediatric population. ESRD is a devastating disorder associated with excessive mortality and cardiovascular morbidity, and specific problems occur in children, such as impaired growth and psychosocial adjustment, all of which severely impact upon the quality of life. [1] Chronic kidney disease (CKD) affects virtually every organ system and in so doing has a major impact not only on mortality but also on the quality of life (QOL) of children. Consequently, optimal care for the pediatric patient with CKD involves not only medical management but also the management of psychosocial and developmental factors that will ensure a pediatric patient's successful transition into adulthood. Simply surviving is not sufficient; the quality of survival

has emerged as a fundamental focus of comprehensive healthcare. [2]In Egypt, the prevalence of dialysis patients has increased from 225 per million population (pmp) in 1996 to 483 pmp in 2008 (according to last Egyptian renal registry) and the main causes of ESKD in Egypt, nephropathy, included other than diabetic disease. hypertensive kidney chronic glomerulonephritis, unknown etiology, chronic pyelonephritis, schistosomal obstructive uropathy, schistosomal nephropathy [3] Studies in and pediatric patients with chronic illnesses have shown that this patient population has a higher prevalence of depression than healthy populations and that depression has a negative effect on the underlying medical condition. Studies of adults with CKD indicate a high risk of depression, with a prevalence between 20-40 %. [4]

Chronic illness is a risk factor for psychological problems, such as depressive symptoms. For example, the presence of physical symptoms, such

as pain and fatigue, combined with the need for disease management regimes, are likely to interfere with many aspects of daily life, such as regular school attendance and maintaining peer relations, and may cause frustration. Children with chronic illness may feel different from his peers and experience peer rejection, which may have detrimental effects on their self-concept [5].

Depressive and anxiety symptoms are important factors affecting prognostic outcome and quality of life (QoL) in individuals with CKD, including ESKD. Indeed, renal dialysis places a considerable burden on patients with CKD and often compromises their QoL, leading to high levels of anxiety and depression [6]

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was carried out in the Nephrology Unit of Pediatrics Department of Zagazig University department of pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University on 50 children subdivided into 2 groups: group 1 included 25 children with CKD, 11 male and 14 female, their ages ranged from 9 to 18 years old admitted to the Pediatric Nephrology Unit. Group 2 included 25 apparently healthy child, 11 male and 14 female ranged age from 9 to 18 years matched to cases regarding to age and sex during the period from April to October 2018. Sample was taken including all children patients with chronic kidney disease during the period of the study.Diagnostic criteria for CKD were based on the National Kidney Foundation's Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Practice classification [7]. All patients in this study were subjected to: full history taking, full clinical examination. Laboratory investigations: serum levels of creatinine, hemoglobin, albumin, cholesterol, triglycerides, total calcium, phosphorus, bicarbonate, parathormone and uric acid; in addition, the ratio between protein and creatinine in spot urine. Assessment of psychiatric symptoms by the Children's Depression Rating Scale. The Children's Depression Rating Scale (CDRS) was used to determine the severity of depression in children 6-12 years of age. Items are measured on 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-point scales. The CDRS is derived from the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D); a score of 15 on the CDRS is equivalent to a score of 0 on the HAM-D.The patient is rated by a clinician on 17 to 29

items (depending on version) scored either on a 3point or 5-point Likert-type scale. For the 17-item version, a score of 0-7 is considered to be normal. Scores of 20 or higher indicate moderate, severe, or very severe depression.[8] Written informed consent was obtained from all childers' parents and the study was approved by the research ethical committee of Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University. The work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using Statistical Program for Social Science (SPSS) version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Median and range were also calculated. Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage.

RESULTS

As regard age and gender, residence, father's age, mother's age, father's education and mother's education there was no statistically significant difference between patients and control groups (table 1). As regard Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and Systolic blood pressure (SBP), there was a statistically significant difference between different CKD stages groups. As regard CKD etiology and CKD duration, treatment duration, weight, height, DBP, use of anti-hypertensive drug and number of used medications there was no statistically significant difference between different CKD stages groups (table 2). there were significant differences between CKD and control groups regarding depression score and depression grade with the diseased group reported the highest scores (table 3). There were a significant differences between CKD subclasses and control groups regarding depression score and depression grade (table 4). There was significant difference between stage 2 of KCD and controls regarding depression score (table 5). There was significant positive correlation between albumin and patients with no depression and while there was negative correlation between albumin and CKD patients with borderline depression. Also there was significant positive correlation between GFR and patients with no depression (table 6)

fable (1): Comparison between CKI	patients and controls regardin	g the demographic and social data.
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------------------

Demographic and social data	CKD group	Control group	Test	P-value
Count	25	25		(Sig.)
Age (years)				
Mean ± SD	10.1 ± 2.4	9.6 ± 2.3	1.266 •	0.205(NS)
Median (Range)	10 (9 - 18)	10 (9 - 18)		
Gender				
Male	11 (44%)	11 (44%)	<0.001 ‡	1.000(NS)
		·	· · ·	

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.10825.1128 Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(139-144) Supplement Issue							
Demographic and social data	CKD group	Control group	Test	P-value			
Count	25	25		(Sig.)			
Female	14 (56%)	14 (56%)					
Residence							
Urban	18 (72%)	19 (76%)	0.104 ‡	0.747(NS)			
Rural	7 (28%)	6 (24%)					
Father's age (years)		·	·	÷			
Mean ± SD	50.1 ± 6.3	47.2 ± 5.2	1.737 *	0.089(NS)			
Median (Range)	49 (40 - 60)	48 (34 - 58)					
Mother's age (years)				· ·			
Mean ± SD	43.2 ± 5.1	41.2 ± 6.2	1.120 •	0.263(NS)			
Median (Range)	43 (31 - 50)	43 (28 - 49)					
Father's education				· ·			
Elementary	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	2.209 ‡	0.530(NS)			
Preparatory	3 (12%)	1 (4%)					
Secondary	5 (20%)	6 (24%)					
College	16 (64%)	18 (72%)					
Mother's education		-		•			
Elementary	3 (12%)	0 (0%)	3.682 ‡	0.298(NS)			
Preparatory	3 (12%)	3 (12%)	· ·				
Secondary	8 (32%)	7 (28%)					
College	11 (44%)	15 (60%)					
Consanguinity							
No	16 (64%)	19 (76%)	0.857 ‡	0.355(NS)			
Yes	9 (36%)	6 (24%)					
* Indonandant complex Student's t to	ot Monn W	hitney II test *Chi say	ioro tost	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$			

* Independent samples Student's t-test. • Mann Whitney U test. \ddagger Chi-square test. p < 0.05 is significant.Sig.: significance.

Table (2): The clinical characteristics of CKD in patients' group (n=25).

CKD	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Test	P-value	
Count (%)	17 (68%)	7 (28%)	1 (4%)		(Sig.)	
CKD etiology						
GN	16 (94.1%)	4 (57.1%)	1 (100%)	5.242 ‡	0.073(NS)	
Congenital & UTI	0 (0%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0%)	2.679 ‡	0.262(NS)	
Others	1 (5.9%)	2 (28.6%)	0 (0%)	2.559 ‡	0.278(NS)	
CKD duration (years	5)					
Mean ± SD	2.3 ± 0.9	2.7 ± 1.4	2 ± 0	0.473 ^к	0.789(NS)	
Median (Range)	2 (1 – 4)	2 (1 – 5)	2 (2 – 2)			
Treatment duration	(years)					
Mean ± SD	2.1 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 1.0	2 ± 0	0.673 ^к	0.714(NS)	
Median (Range)	2 (1 – 3.5)	2 (1 – 4)	2 (2 – 2)			
GFR (mL/min/1.73 n	n ²)					
Mean ± SD	88.1 ± 5.0	81.7 ± 7.6	59 ± 0	10.779 ^к	0.005(S)	
Median (Range)	90 (75 - 90)	80 (70 - 90)	59 (59 - 59)			
Weight (kg)						
Mean ± SD	24.8 ± 8.5	25.6 ± 11.1	45 ± 0	2.863 ^к	0.239(NS)	
Median (Range)	22 (14 – 37)	22 (15 – 43)	45 (45 - 45)			
Height (cm)						
Mean ± SD	128.2 ± 11.2	131.1 ± 12.2	132 ± 0	0.191 ^A	0.827(NS)	
Median (Range)	130 (105 – 142)	130 (115 – 153)	132 (132 – 132)			
SBP (mmHg)						
Mean ± SD	112.9 ± 7.9	123.6 ± 12.8	150 ± 0	6.423 ^к	0.040(S)	
Median (Range)	110 (100 - 125)	125 (110 - 145)	150 (150 - 150)			
DBP (mmHg)						
Mean ± SD	71.5 ± 8.8	81.4 ± 11.4	90 ± 0	5.773 ^к	0.056(NS)	
Median (Range)	70 (60 – 90)	85 (65 - 95)	90 (90 - 90)			

<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.10825.1128</u> Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(139-144) Supplement Issue							
CKD	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Test	P-value		
Count (%)	17 (68%)	7 (28%)	1 (4%)		(Sig.)		
Use of anti-hyperten	sive drug						
No	2 (11.8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.023 ‡	0.600(NS)		
Yes	15 (88.2%)	7 (100%)	1 (100%)				
Number of used medications							
Three or less	14 (82.4%)	4 (57.1%)	0 (0%)	4.242 ‡	0.120(NS)		
More than three	3 (17.6%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (100%)				
• -	*7						

^A One-way Anovatest.^KKruskal Wallis test.[‡]Chi-square test.p< 0.05 is significant.Sig.: significance.

Table (3): Comparison between CKD patients and controls regarding the prevalence of depression.

Prevalence of depression	CKD group	Control group	Test	P-value
Count	25	25		(Sig.)
Depression score				
Mean ± SD	21.7 ± 5.3	18.6 ± 3.3	2.241•	0.025(S)
Median (Range)	20 (15 – 32)	18 (15 – 28)		
Depression grade				
No depression	11 (44%)	19 (76%)	6.891 ‡	0.009(S)
Borderline depression	10 (40%)	6 (24%)		
Significant depression	4 (16%)	0 (0%)		

• Mann Whitney U test. ‡Chi-square test (Linear-by-Linear Association).p< 0.05 is significant.Sig.: significance.

 Table (4): Comparison between CKD subclasses and controls regarding the prevalence of depression.

Prevalence	of	Stage I	Stage II	Stage III	Control	Test	P-value
depression					group		(Sig.)
Count		17	7	1	25		
Depression score							
Mean ± SD		19.7 ± 4.3	25.4 ± 5.0	30 ± 0	18.6 ± 3.3	13.141 ^к	0.004(S)
Median (Range)		18 (15 –	25 (19 –	30 (30 -	18 (15 – 28)		
		28)	32)	30)			
Depression grade							
No depression		10 (58.8%)	1 (14.3%)	0 (0%)	19 (76%)	14.500 ‡	<0.001(HS)
Borderline		6 (35.3%)	4 (57.1%)	0 (0%)	6 (24%)		
depression							
Significant		1 (5.9%)	2 (28.6%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)		
depression							

^KKruskal Wallis test.[‡]Chi-square test (Linear-by-Linear Association).p< 0.05 is significant.Sig.: significance.

Table (5): Pairwise comparison between CKD subclasses and controls regarding depression score.

Sample 1-Sample2	Test Statistic	Std. Error	Std. Test Statistic	Sig.	Adj. Sig.
Controls-Stage 1	-3.580	4.543	788	.431	1.000
Controls-Stage 2	-20.151	6.180	-3.261	.001	.007
Controls-Stage 3	-27.080	14.739	-1.837	.066	.397
Stage 1 – Stage 2	-16.571	6.490	-2.553	.011	.064
Stage 1 – Stage 3	-23.500	14.872	-1.580	.114	.684
Stage 2 – Stage 3	-6.929	15.450	448	.654	1.000

Table (6): Correlation analysis between depression score of different depression grades and some clinical and laboratory parameters within CKD patients (n=25).

Variable	No depression (n=11)		Borderline depression (n=10)		Significant depression (n=4)	
	r	Р	R	Р	r	р
Age (years)	0.022	0.950	0.494	0.146	0.775	0.225
Weight (kg)	-0.579	0.062	0.605	0.064	0.258	0.742

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.10825.1128 Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(139-144) Supplement Issue							
	No dep	ression	Borderline	depression	Significant	t	
Variable	(n=11)		(n=10)		depression		
					(n=4)		
	r	Р	R	Р	r	р	
Height (cm)	-0.561	0.072	0.461	0.180	0.775	0.225	
SBP (mmHg)	-0.166	0.625	0.489	0.152	0.258	0.742	
DBP (mmHg)	0.017	0.960	0.465	0.176	0.816	0.184	
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	0.044	0.898	-0.204	0.572	0.258	0.742	
Albumin (mg/dL)	0.781	0.005	-0.673	0.033	-0.775	0.225	
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	0.226	0.505	-0.170	0.638	-0.775	0.225	
BUN (mg/dL)	0.014	0.966	-0.106	0.770	-0.258	0.742	
GFR (mL/min/1.73 m2)	0.824	0.002	0.099	0.786	-0.258	0.742	
Serum Ca (mg/dL)	-0.305	0.362	0.012	0.973	-0.258	0.742	
Serum phosphorus (mg/dL)	0.450	0.165	-0.444	0.199	-0.544	0.456	
PTH (pg/mL)	0.297	0.375	0.039	0.914	0.775	0.225	
Uric acid (mg/dL)	0.043	0.901	0.431	0.214	0.258	0.742	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	0.361	0.276	0.605	0.064	0.775	0.225	
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	0.527	0.096	0.576	0.081	0.775	0.225	

DISCUSSION

Chronic kidney disease as the other chronic diseases has its burden on the psychological, behavioral and quality of life of the patents. Depressive disorders and anxiety disorders are the most common mental health problems in the CKD population [9]The psychosocial interventions appear to reduce depressive symptoms and improve quality of life in patients with chronickidney-disease and their careers and some beneficial impact on anxiety. However, the small number of identified studies indicates a need for further research in this field [10]. In the present study, there was no significant difference between CKD and control group regarding age and sex. This came in agreement with Kogon et al., [11] who found that there was no significant difference between CKD and control group regarding age and sex.In the present study, there was significant difference between CKD and control group regarding PTH with increase of PTH in CKD patients explained that maybe secondry hyperparathyrodism. This came in agreement with the study of Wesseling-Perry and Salusky [12] who found that there were abnormalities in calcium, phosphorus and parathyroid hormone (PTH). This was shown also in a report of data collected by the International Pediatric Peritoneal Dialysis Network on 900 children worldwide, where PTH levelswere over five times above the upper limit of normal values in ~50% of the patients [13]. The current study showed that serum albumin was measured in the two groups of the study and significantly low albumin level was observed in case group than control (p=0.006) this was in agreement with the study of Haller, [14], who stated that Hypoalbuminemia is common in patients with CKD. In our study the prevalence of depression in CKD patents was 40% (borderline depression) and 16% (significant depression) which is in consistence with a study done by **Turkistani et al., [15]** who found that the prevalence of depression in CKD patents was 57%. This differs from the prevalence cited in the general adolescent population (7.5%) and is substantially higher than that identified in other pediatric CKD studies in which up to 35% of subjects reportedly suffered from depression [**11**]. One explanation for this finding may be that because CKD is a large,rigorous, multicenter study requiring long-term yearly follow-up [16].

In the present study, no demographic data was correlated with depression. This came in agreement with the study of Hernandez et al., [17], which carried done in Per assessing depressive symptoms in children on dialysis found no association between depressive symptoms and demographic factors. A study of Egyptian pediatric patients with CKD before and after dialysis which carried by **Bakr et al.**, [18] also failed to find any associations with demographic or clinical factors. It also mentioned that the presence of psychiatric disorders among their study cohort was not significantly correlated with age, sex, severity of anemia or duration of disease. Unfortunately, single-center designs and small sample sizes, their findings might be reflective of inadequate power as opposed to a true lack of association, and the possibility of other predictors of depression remains.Similar to at least one study of depression in adult CKD patients, carried by Hedavati et al., [19] we did not find that the likelihood of depression increased with worsening kidney function. Instead, the patients with less severe

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.10825.1128 Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(139-144) Supplement Issue

stages of CKD were more likely to be depressed. This suggests that depression may not be related primarily to the abnormal metabolic milieu of CKD patients. It is also possible that the lower intensity of medical services provided to patients with pre-ESRD CKD may affect their perceptions of psychological support. If this were to be the case, then providing more clinical and medical support to patients with less severe disease could be evaluated as a means of reducing depression.

Limitations: The data was collected on small number of patients. A larger group of patients should be studied for a longer period of time to confirm the effects observed in this study. A larger sample size may be able to determine if assessing psychological status, QOL, diet and lifestyle modifications can help in slowing the progression of the chronic renal disease

CONCLUSIONS

CKD is contributing to a higher frequency of depression.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The assessment and enhancement of QOL and combined psychiatric disorders in CKD should be included in the disease management. Nephrology Clinic should include measurements of QOL and psychological symptoms for children in clinical practice. There is a need for mental health workers in the care centers so that those patients and their families can improve their adjustment and coping strategies. Adaptation of the CKD patient to new condition requires medical staff cooperation with patient's family and his friends support. Financial aid whether from governmental or private institutions should be provided to CKD patients in order to improve their quality of life.

REFERENCES

1- Harambat J, Van Stralen KJ, Kim J. Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in children. Pediatr Nephrol 2012; 27 (3) :363-373.

2- Marciano RC, Soares CM, Diniz JS, Lima EM, Silva JM, Canhestro MR. Behavioral disorders and low quality of life in children and adolescents with chronic kidney disease. Pediatr Nephrol 2011; 26 (2) : 281–290.

3-Afifi A. Annual reports of the Egyptian renal registry; 1996-2008. Egyptian Society of Nephrology and Transplantation; 2008.

4- Kogon AJ, Vander Stoep A, Weiss NS, Smith J, Flynn JT, McCauley E. Depression and its associated factors in pediatric chronic kidney disease. Pediatr Nephrol 2013; 28 (9) :1855–1861.

5- Pinquart M, Shen Y. Depressive symptoms in children and adolescents with chronic physical illness:

an updated meta-analysis. J Pediatr Psychol 2011; 36 (4) :375–384.

6- Lee YJ, Kim MS, Cho S, Kim SR. Association of depression and anxiety with reduced quality of life in patients with predialysis chronic kidney disease. Int. J. Clin. Pract. 2013; 67: 363–368.

7- Hogg RJ. National Kidney Foundation's Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease in children and adolescents: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Pediatrics (2003); 111:1416–1421

8- Williams JBW. A structured interview guide for the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1989 ;45 (8) : 742-747.

9-Paschou A, Damigos D, Skapinakis P, Siamopoulos K. The Relationship between Burden and Depression in Spouses of Chronic Kidney Disease Patients. Depress res treat 2018; 2018: 8694168.

10- Pascoe MC, Thompson DR, Castle DJ, McEvedy SM, Ski C F. Psychosocial Interventions for Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms in Individuals with Chronic Kidney Disease: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Psychol 2017; 8 : 992

11- Kogon AJ, Matheson MB, Flynn JT, Gerson AC, Warady BA, Furth SL. Depressive symptoms in children with chronic kidney disease. J Pediatr. 2016; 168: 164-170.

12- Wesseling-Perry K, and Salusky IB. (2013): chronic kidney disease: mineral and bone disorder in children. Semin Nephrol; 33: 169–179.

13- Rees L, and Shroff R. (2015): The demise of calcium-based phosphate binders-is this appropriate for children? Pediatr Nephrol; 30: 2061–2071

14-Haller, C. (2005): Hypoalbuminemia in renal failure: pathogenesis and therapeutic considerations. Kidn. Blood Press. Res., 28 (5-6): 307-310.

15- Turkistani I, Nuqali A, Badawi M, Taibah O, Alserihy O, Morad M. The prevalence of anxiety and depression among end-stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis in Saudi Arabia. Ren Fail. 2014;: 36 (10): 1510-1515.

16- Avenevoli S, Swendsen J, He JP, Burstein M, Merikangas KR. Major depression in the National Comorbidity Survey–Adolescent Supplement: prevalence, correlates, and treatment. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2015; 54:37-44.e2

17- Hernandez EG, Loza R, Vargas H, Jara MF. Depressive symptomatology in children and adolescents with chronic renal insufficiency undergoing chronic dialysis. Int J Nephrol: (**2011**): 798692.

18- Bakr A, Amr M, Sarhan A, Hammad A, Ragab M, El-Refaey A, El-Mougy A. Psychiatric disorders in children with chronic renal failure. Pediatr Nephrol. **2007;** 22 (1) :128-131.

19-- Hedayati SS, Minhajuddin AT, Afshar M, Toto RD, Trivedi MH, Rush AJ. Association between major depressive episodes in patients with chronic kidney disease and initiation of dialysis, hospitalization, or death. JAMA **2010;** 303 (19) : 1946–195

To Cite:

Khalel, A., Roshdy, E., Alhowala, A., Aburass, A., Depression in Pre-Dialysis Chronic Kidney Disease in Children and Adolescents in Zagazig University Hospitals. *Zagazig University Medical Journal*, 2022; (139-144): -.doi: 10.21608/zumj.2020.10825.1128