Variable		Good (<i>n</i> =4)		Excellent (n=8)		Р
	No	%	No	%		
Associated fractures: • No • Yes:	2	50	8	100		
-Ipsilateral mid 1/3 clavicle -Ipsilateral mid 1/3 femur	1 1	25 25	0 0	0 0	4.80	0.09 NS

 Table (6): Relation between outcome & associated fractures among the studied cases.

 Table (7): Relation between outcome & associated medical conditions among the studied cases.

Variable		Good (<i>n</i> =4)		Excellent (n=8)		Р
	No	%	No	%	χ^2	
Associated medical conditions: • No	4	100	5	62.5		
• Yes: -B.A	0	0	2	25	2	0.37
-Type 1 DM	0	0	1	12.5		NS

Table (8): Relation between outcome & time of fracture union and implant removal among the studied cases.

Variable	Good (<i>n</i> =4)	Excellent (n=8)	MW	Р
Time: (weeks)				
• Mean \pm SD	6.24 ± 2.01	5.98 ± 2.31		
Median	б	5	0.19	0.86
• Range	6 - 10	5 - 10		NS

Table (9): Relation between outcome & difference between the two sides in supination / pronation and among the studied cases.

Variable	Good (<i>n</i> =4)			ellent =8)	χ^2	Р
	No	%	No	%		
Had Difference in forearm						
rotation:						
• <i>No</i>	0	0	5	62.5	4.29	0.03*
• Yes	4	100	3	37.5		

Table (10): Relation between outcome & complications among the studied cases.

Variable		Good (<i>n</i> =4)		Excellent (n=8)		Р
	No	%	No	%		
Complications:						
• <i>No</i>	4	100	5	62.5	2	0.16
• Yes: (Pin tract infection)	0	0	3	37.5		NS