

https://doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2024.234154.2873 Manuscript id: ZUMJ-2312-3043 ORIGINAL ARTICLE Volume 30, Issue 1.7, Oct. 2024, Supplement Issue Doi: 1010.21608/zumj.2024.254499.3043

# Neutrophil–Lymphocyte Ratio and Clinical Outcome in Advanced Pancreatic Cancer Patients

# Aya Abdullatif Abdullah <sup>1</sup>,Shereen Mostafa El Shorbagy <sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Mohamed Baraka <sup>2</sup>, Dalia Hamouda Elsayed <sup>1</sup>

1 Medical Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University 2 Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University

Corresponding author\* Aya Abdullatif Abdullah Emailayaabdullatif1210@gmail.com

Submit date: 10-12-2023 Revise date: 26-12-2023 Accept date: 02-01-2024



#### ABSTRACT

Background: Studies have been carried out to highlight the connection between the Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR) and the prognosis of pancreatic cancer, still with controversial findings. This study retrospectively examined the relation between NLR and response to treatment, time to treatment failure (TTF), and overall survival (OS) among patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Subjects and methods: This observational retrospective cohort study was carried out on 80 patients diagnosed with advanced pancreatic cancertreated with first-line chemotherapy. The NLR was calculated by dividing the absolute neutrophil count by the absolute lymphocyte count. We evaluated the TTF and OS in all cases. Results: Using the 1-year survival as a time point to create the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve, the optimal cut-off value for baseline NLR was found to be 2.05 with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.906. Patients with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05 revealed a significantly longer OS (mean: 11.347 months) than patients with NLR >2.05 (mean: 6.707 months) with a significant p-value of <0.001. NLR had a sensitivity of 81.2% and specificity of 84.4% at a threshold value of 2.05 in predicting mortality.For patients with NLR  $\leq 2.05$ , the average TTF was longer (7.075 months) compared with those with NLR >2.05 (6.670 months) but with no significant difference (P >0.05). Regarding response, progressive disease (PD) at first evaluation to 1st line chemotherapy at three months was significantly higher in cases who had NLR >2.05 (p=0.008). In addition, death at one year was significantly higher in patients who had NLR >2.05 (p<0.001). WBCs and platelets counts were considerably higher in cases that had NLR >2.05 than in patients with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05. Whereas albumin, Albumin to globulin (AG) ratio. Conclusions: NLR could serve as a valuable prognostic and predictive biomarker in advanced pancreatic cancer, aiding treatment decision-making and patient management. Keywords :Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio; Clinical Outcome; Advanced Pancreatic Cancer

# INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, pancreatic cancer ranks third among cancer-related mortality in both sexes [1], having a survival rate of about 10% after five years [2]. In Egypt, 3.2 per 100,000 people in lower, middle, and upper Egypt, with corresponding incidence rates of 3.2 percent, 1.94 percent, and 3.6 percent, pancreatic cancer has long been believed to be a rare disease, accounting for approximately 2% of all cancers [3].

Unlikedeveloped countries where the disease predominantly occurs in the elderly population, an unexpectedly high prevalence young-onset pancreatic cancer of was observed in the East Nile Delta, with the disease detected at advanced stages and associated with a high mortality rate [<sup>£</sup>].Pancreatic cancer patients have the best likelihood of extended survival after curative resection. Unfortunately, nearly 80% of patients were initially diagnosed with unresectable pancreatic cancer [°].

For pancreatic cancer patients with advanced stages, chemotherapy is a standard treatment option. A median OS of 8.5-11.1 months is the result of conventional chemotherapy, which, however, has a negligible impact on disease progression and inadequate efficacy. Though prior studies have revealed a variety of predictors of chemotherapy response, there are currently no potential predictors that allow better risk assessment for response, hence prognosis in advanced pancreatic cancer patients [6]. There is a lot of interest in determining the role of systemic inflammation in the development, progression, and prognosis of pancreatic cancer since chronic inflammation is an essential etiologic element in the disease's onset and response [7].

No matter the etiology, chronic pancreatitis raises the risk of cancer. However, there seems to be a subset of people who were especially at risk. Elevated pancreatic cancer risk has been associated with hereditary pancreatitis, an uncommon autosomal dominant condition resulting from cationic trypsinogen gene mutations. This population has a worse survival rate and develops pancreatic inflammation at a much younger age [8].

NLR, calculated by dividing the absolute neutrophil count by the absolute lymphocyte count, is a simple, cheap, and easily indicator of systemic quantitative inflammation. Among the many cancers for is a which NLR useful adjunctive pretreatment, it stands out as a distinct prognostic indication linked to poor prognosis. [9].Research on the correlation between NLR and pancreatic cancer prognosis has also been conducted, although the results have been met with controversial reviews[\.].

So, this study aimedto retrospectively assess the relation between NLR and response to treatment, TTF, and OS among patients with advanced pancreatic cancer and to correlate between NLR and clinicopathological features in advanced pancreatic cancer patients.

# METHODS

This observational retrospective cohort study wasconducted at theMedical Oncology department in Zagazig University Hospitals from January 2017 to December 2021. Weanalyzed data from 80patients who were diagnosed with advanced pancreatic cancer. Inclusion criteria:Patients of both sexes were 18 years old or older and had radiographic

#### https://doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2024.234154.2873

and histologic proof of pancreatic adenocarcinoma that had spread locally or across the body. Pancreatic cancer TNM staging was based on the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) recommendations [11], cases who had adequate bone marrow reservewhite blood cells (WBCs) to be  $\ge 3 \times 10^9$ /L, platelets to be  $\geq 100 \text{ x } 10^{9}/\text{L}$ , and hemoglobin to be  $\geq 10$ g/L), who had adequate kidney functions (Blood urea 20- 40 mg/dl and Serum creatinine < 1.2 mg/dl, who had adequate liver functions (Total bilirubin < 1.2 mg/dland AST and ALT < 2.5 x upper normal range), and patients who underwent gemcitabine-based or fluoropyrimidine-based as1<sup>st</sup> line chemotherapy.

Exclusion criteria: We excluded all who had any of the following conditions: Incomplete data in the file, Patients who were planned for refused best supportive care or chemotherapy, and patients suffering from malignancy other than pancreatic cancer. Also, patients who were presented withresectable pancreatic cancer, who had prior chemotherapy and radiotherapy, who had contraindications for medical medical treatment, and women who were pregnant or lactating were not allowed to take part.

This study followed the guidelines [the World Medical Association's Code of Ethics (Declaration of Helsinki) for human studies]. All participants provided informed and written consent. The Institutional Review Board has approved this research (#9652).

# Data collection

The following variables of patients were extracted anonymously from medical records and transcribed into an Excel spreadsheet: Personal data including age,gender, past

#### Volume 30, Issue 1.7, Oct. 2024, Supplement Issue

medical history fordiabetes, diagnostic clinical staging according to the 8<sup>th</sup> version of the TNM staging system developed by the AJCC, Cooperative Eastern Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (PS) at the time of starting first-line chemotherapy  $[1^{\gamma}]$ , primary tumor location within the pancreas, tumor grade, chemotherapy regimen, and laboratory data, includingWBCs, neutrophil and lymphocyte, platelets, hemoglobin,total bilirubin. albumin. globulin, Albumin/globulin(AG) ratio, Within one week before the first treatment cycle, CA19.9 Absolute was acquired. neutrophil count/absolute lymphocyte count was the formula for NLR.Another inflammatory prognostic scoreisthe platelets to lymphocyte ratio(PLR),calculated by platelet count divided by absolute lymphocyte count, Odonera Prognostic nutritional index (PNI) (calculated as  $10 \times \text{Albumin levels} + 0.005 \times$ lymphocyte levels)[\\"].

# Endpoints

Primary endpoint: Time to treatment failure was calculated from the date of chemotherapy initiation to the date of chemotherapy discontinuation for various reasons, including treatment toxicity or disease progression.

Secondary endpoint:Overall survival was calculated from the beginning of chemotherapy until the last follow-up or death.

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

The statistical package for the social sciences, SPSS, version 20, was used for the data analysis. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp").A chisquare test was used to examine how the two sets of ordinal data were related. The student t-test was used for normally distributed

#### https://doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2024.234154.2873

quantitative variables and the Mann-Whitney U test for otherwise dispersed ones, and we compared the two sets of data.Kaplan Meier curves were created to evaluate OS and progression-free survival (PFS). Then, a Log Rank test was performed to determine if there was a statistically significant change between groups.The optimal cutoff value for a quantitative parameter utilized in diagnosing a health issue was determined using anROC curve.

#### RESULTS

Based on the data provided by patients' files, the age of diagnosis ranged from 35 to 65 years, with a mean age of  $58.0\pm$  7.0 years. Patients more than 60 years were the most typical age group found (43.75%), followed by the age group between 51 and 60 (42.5%), then the age group between 41 and 50 (10%), and the age group between 30 and 40 (3.75%). More than half of the patients (52.5%) were males. In comparison, 47.5% were females, with a male-to-female ratio of 1.1:1; PS is an independent prognostic factor for survival in patients with pancreatic cancer, and 57.5% were PS-I. In comparison, PS-II patients represented 42.5% of the patient population; 29 (36.3%) patients were known to be diabetic, as shown in (Table 1).

More than half of patients (57.5%) received mFOLFIRINOX, while 42.5% received Gemcitabine monotherapy as the first line. The mean duration of 1<sup>st</sup>line chemotherapy was  $4.28\pm 1.71$  months and ranged from one month to 12 months. Only 30 (37.5%) patients received subsequentlines. The response to treatment at first evaluation at three monthsrevealed that 34 out of 80 cases(42.5%) had Stable disease (SD),18 cases achieved partial response (PR) (22.5%) Volume 30, Issue 1.7, Oct. 2024, Supplement Issue

while 28 patients(35.0%) reported progression. 52 out of 80 patients (65.0%) achieved a disease control rate (PR+SD). Regarding survival outcome, there were 32 (40%) cases alive within one year, while death was reported in 48 (60%) cases. TheOS of all studied patients was 8.795 months, as shown in (Table 2).

The best cut-off value for baseline NLR was discovered to be 2.05 with an AUC of 0.906, using the 1-year survival as a time point to produce the receiver ROC curve. Patients whose NLR was less than or equal to 2.05 had an OS rate of 11.347 months, while patients whose NLR was more significant than or equivalent to 2.05. Those with an NLR greater than 2.05 had a significantly poorer OS rate than patients with an NLR less than or equal to 2.05 (P < 0.001).(Table 3).

The mean TTF among the studied pancreatic cancer patients was 6.932 months.For patients with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05, the average TTF was 7.075 months, while for those with NLR >2.05, it was 6.670 months. TTF showed no significant difference in patients with NLR >2.05 compared to patients with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05 (P >0.05).The PFS of all studied patients was 11.485 months. The PFS was 14.733 months for patients with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05 and 7.410 months for patients with NLR  $\geq$ 2.05. In comparison to those with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05, PFS was significantly shorter in patients with NLR > 2.05 (P <0.001) (Table 4).

The NLR, PLR, PNI, AG ratio, and CA19.9 were evaluated using ROC analysis to predict mortality. With an AUC of 0.906, a sensitivity of 81.2%, and a specificity of 84.4% at a threshold value of 2.05, NLR was very significant (P< 0.001). PLR had 75% sensitivity and 78.1% specificity at a

#### https://doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2024.234154.2873

threshold value of 117.3 with AUC = 0.798 and was highly significant (P< 0.001). PNI had 73.7% sensitivity and 96.9% specificity at a threshold value of 34.5 with AUC = 0.646 and was significant (P= 0.019). A/G ratio at a threshold value of 1.1 had 54.2% sensitivity& 75% specificity, with an AUC of 0.619 and was non-significant (P = 0.057). CA19.9 at a threshold value of 9 had 93.7% sensitivity& 31.2% specificity, with an AUC of 0.564 and was non-significant (P = 0.369)(Table 5 andFigure 1).

Regarding response, patients with an NLR greater than 2.05 had a significantly increased PD rate at the initial three-month assessment

Volume 30, Issue 1.7, Oct. 2024, Supplement Issue

(p=0.008). In addition, patients with an NLR greater than 2.05 had a significantly increased risk of death at one year (p<0.001).WBCs, platelets count, and Platelets lymphocyte ratio were significantly higher in cases with NLR >2.05 compared to cases with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05. In contrast, patients with an NLR equal to or more than 2.05 had significantly lower levels of albumin, AG ratio, length of first-line chemotherapy, PFS, and TTF compared to cases with an NLR less than or equal to 2.05 (Table 6).

	-	Studied patients (N= 80)				
		Ν	%			
Candon	Male	42	52.5%			
Gender	Female	38	47.5%			
	Mean± SD	58	.0± 7.0			
Age (years)	Median		60.0			
	Range	35.0 - 65.0				
	<b>30- 40</b> years	3	3.75%			
	41- 50 years	8	10.0%			
Age groups	51- 60 years	34	42.5%			
	> 60 years	35	43.75%			
ECOG performance status	Ι	46	57.5%			
(PS)	II	34	42.5%			
Dishatas Mallitus	No	51	63.7%			
Diabetes Mellitus	Yes	29	36.3%			

Table (1): Characteristics of the stu	idied pancreatic cancer patients.
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

*SD*= *standard deviation*,

						St	tudiedp	patients(N=80)			
						N	1	%			
1 <sup>st</sup> line Chemother	ару	Gen	ncitabine	monother	apy	34	4	42.5%			
			mFOLF	IRINOX		4	6	57.5%			
		Mear	n± SD			4.2	28±1.71				
Durationof1 <sup>st</sup> li	ne		Mee	lian			4	.0			
chemotherapy(mont	hs)		Ra	nge			1.	.0 –12.0			
2 <sup>nd</sup> line Chemotherapy No						5	0	62.5%			
			Y	es		3	0	37.5%			
			Partial	(PR)	18		22.5%				
Treatment r	Treatment response			Stable disease(SD)				42.5%			
			Progr	ease	28		35.0%				
Disease control rat	e(DCR)*:	*	No(Progression)			28		35.0%			
			Yes(No	progress	sion)	52		65.0%			
					Studiedpatients (N=80)						
				Ν		%					
	Alive		3	2		40.0%					
Survival within one year	Died	48					60.0%				
Overallsurvival (OS)											
	Me	eansur	vival(mo	nths)		Mediansurvival (months)					
	Estima	ate	95%	95%CI Es			95%CI Estim				95%CI
Overall survival (OS)	8.795	5	7.914	9.676	9.676	5	8.014	9.986			

**Table(2):1**<sup>st</sup> line chemotherapy, response among the studied pancreatic cancer patients

CI : confidence interval

\*: Response to 1<sup>st</sup> line chemotherapy was evaluated at three months and Survival among the studied pancreatic cancer patients within one year, and the overall survival analysis among the studied pancreatic cancer patients.

\*\*Disease control rate (DCR) is defined by cases achieving stable disease(SD) or partial response(PR) to Chemotherapy combined (SD+PR) so If DCR is (YES) means no progression on  $I^{st}$  evaluation to  $I^{st}$  line Chemotherapy and vice versa

 Table (3):
 Correlation
 between
 overall
 survival
 and
 NLR
 among
 thestudied

 pancreaticcancerpatients

 </td

Overallsurvival (OS)										
	Meansu	rvival(month	Mediansurvival (months)							
	Estimate	95%(	CI	Estimate	95%	6CI				
NLR≤2.05	11.347	10.339	12.356	11.000	10.652	11.348				
NLR>2.05	6.707	5.683	7.731	5.800	4.175	7.425				
Comparisonof surviv	alcurves(Log ran	ktest)								
Chi-squared	24.38									
DF	1									
Significance	Р	<0.001*								

NLR :neutrophil-lymphocyte ratioDF : degree of freedomCI :confidence intervalp value: Probability value\*: Highlystatisticallysignificant atp $\leq 0.01$ 

**Table(4):**CorrelationbetweenTime to treatment failureand Progression free survival

 withNLRamongthestudied pancreaticcancerpatients

Time to treatment failure (TTF)										
	Meansu	rvival(month	Mediansurvival (months)							
	Estimate	95%	oCI	Estimate 9		<b>%CI</b>				
NLR≤2.05	7.075	5.930	8.221	6.600	5.488	7.712				
NLR>2.05	6.670	5.246	8.093	6.000	2.109	9.891				
Comparisonof surv	Comparisonof survivalcurves(Log ranktest)									
Chi-squared	ni-squared 0.022									
DF			1							
Significance	P=0.883*									
Progres	sionfreesurvival	(PFS)								
	Meansu	rvival(month	ıs)	Mediansu	rvival (m	onths)				
	Estimate	95%	ьCI	Estimate	95%	∕₀CI				
NLR≤2.05	14.733	1.014	12.746	16.720		•				
NLR>2.05	7.410	1.106	5.242	9.578	4.200	1.016				
All cases	11.485	.906	9.709	13.261	•					
Comparisonof surv	vivalcurves(Log	ranktest)								
<b>Chi-squared</b>		13.752								
DF			1							
Significance	P	<0.001**								

NLR :neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio DF : degree of freedom

CI : confidence interval p value: Probability value

\*: Statistically non significantatp>0.05 \*\*: Highlystatisticallysignificant atp≤0.01

 Table(5):Validity(AUC,sensitivity,specificity)forNLR,PLR,PNI,AGratioandCA19.9inpredictionofmortality

	Bestcut off	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	AUC	P-value
NLR	2.05	81.2%	84.4%	93.8%	81.8%	0.906	<0.001**
PLR	117.3	75%	78.1%	77.4%	75.8%	0.798	<0.001**
PNI	34.5	73.7%	96.9%	96%	78.7%	0.646	0.019*
AGratio	1.1	54.2%	75%	68.4%	62%	0.619	0.057
CA19.9	9	93.7%	31.2%	57.7%	83.2%	0.564	0.369

AUC:AreaUndera Curve p value: Probability

valueNPV:Negative predictivevaluePPV:Positivepredictivevalue

NLR :neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio PNI: prognostic

nutritional index

PLR: platelets to lymphocytes ratio AG ratio: Albumin to

globulin ratio

\*:Statistically significantatp  $\leq 0.05$  \*\*: Highlystatisticallysignificant atp  $\leq 0.01$ 

**Table(6):**Comparison betweenNLR, clinicopathological features and other parameters in advanced pancreatic cancer patients

			NLR									
			N ≤2.05	NLR 5(n.= 3	6)	NLI (n.	R>2. = 44	05 4)	C	hi-Squa	reTest	
			Ν	9/	6	N		%	Testv	alue	P- value	
Gender	Ma	ale	17	47.2	2%	25	56	.8%	0.7	21	0 202	
	Fen	nale	19	52.8	3%	19	43	.2%	0.731		0.393	
ECOG	Ι		25	69.4	1%	22	50	.0%	3 (	)0	0.070	
	Ι	[	11	30.6	5%	22	50	.0%	5.0	J9	0.079	
performancestatus(P S)												
DiabotosMollitus	Ν	0	23	63.9	9%	28	63	.6%	0.0	01	0.981	
Diabetesmenitus	Y	es	13	36.1	۱%	16	36	.4%	0.0	01	0.701	
Stagoat diagnosis	Locallya	dvanced	1	1.25	5%	0	0.	0%	12	08	0.038	
Stageat ulagilosis	Distan	t Mets	35	97.2	2%	44	10	0%	4.2	70	0.030	
	Gra	deI	2	5.6	%	5	11	.4%			0.128	
Grade	Gra	deII	22	61.1	۱%	17	38	.6%	4.1	09		
	Grad	leIII	12	33.3	3%	22	50	.0%				
I ivormotostosis	Ν	0	6	16.7	7%	1	2.2	27%	6.5	00	0.010	
Livermetastasis	Y	es	30	83.3	3%	43	97	.7%	0.5	))	0.010	
arcinomatosisperitonei	Ν	0	35	97.2	2%	31	70	).45 %	5.6	57	0.017	
	Y	es	1	2.8	%	13	29	.5%				
Lung metostosis	No		36	100.	0%	39	88	.6%	13	64	0.037	
Lung metastasis	Y	es	0	0.0	%	5	11	.4%	4.304			
	P	R	10	27.8	3%	8	18	.2%				
Treatmentresponse at	SI	D	20	55.6	5%	14	31	.8%	9.7	21	0.008	
Inst evaluation	P	D	6	16.7	7%	22	50	.0%				
Disease control	No(Prog	ression)	8	22.2	2%	24	54	.5%	86	20	0.003	
rate(DCR)*	Yes(Nopi	ogressi	<b>o</b> 28	77.8	3%	20	45.5%		0.0	20	0.003	
at first evaluation at 3 month	n	)										
Deathat onevear	N	0	27	75.0	)%	5	11	.4%	33.4	409	<0.001	
	Y	es	9	25.0	)%	39	88	.6%				
N			ILR	<i>r</i>								
NLR		≤2.05	≤2.05 NLR		R>2.0	5	Testvalue		Р	-value		
Mean		±SD	M	lea n	SD	SD						
Age (years)		61.53	±9.45	59	9.41	±10.	.51 T=0.		938	0	.351	

Abdullah, A, W., et al

3721 | Page

			N	LR							
		N ≤2.05	LF (n.:	R = 36)	NLI (n.	R>2 = 44	.05 4)	С	Chi-SquareTest		
		Ν		%	N	N		Testv	alue	P- value	
BMI(Kg/m2)	24.20	±9.61		24.68	±8.9	91	MWU <sup>=</sup>	Z =0.397	1	.692	
WBCs(109×L)	6.51	±2.10		8.70	±2.7	79	T=3.	882	<	0.001	
Hemoglobin(g/dl)	12.20	±1.65		11.63	±1.35		Z <sub>MWU</sub> =1.685		0	0.092	
Platelets(109×L)	204.8 1	±67.31		277.5 5	±95.	56	MWU=	Z =3.734	<	0.001	
Plateletslymphocyte ratio (PLR)	97.23	±35.93	3	173.1 9	±64.79		Z <sub>MWU</sub> =5.237		<0.001		
Totalbilirubin(mg/dl)	0.66	±0.33		0.64	±0.3	31	MWU=	Z =0.214	0	.831	
Albumin(g/dl)	3.91	±0.51		3.51	±0.7	71	MWU=	Z =2.965	0	.003	
Globulin(g/dl)	3.16	±0.81		3.31	±0.8	30	MWU <sup>=</sup>	Z =1.706	0	.088	
AGratio	1.31	±0.32		1.15	±0.5	±0.51		Z <sub>MWU</sub> =2.829		0.005	
CA19.9	951.8 1	±1971.3 4	8	379.9 1	±454 8	4.1	MWU <sup>=</sup>	Z =0.005	0	.996	
PNI	4.46	±0.56	±0.56 4.45		±0.5	57	MWU <sup>=</sup>	Z =0.440	0	.660	
Durationof1 <sup>st</sup> chemotherapy	5.01	±1.80		3.69	±1.3	39	MWU=	Z =3.443	0	.001	
PFS(month)	7.38	±3.07		4.47	±2.5	56	MWU=	Z =4.841	<	0.001	
TTF(months)	6.27	±2.91	_	4.32	±2.7	77	MWU <sup>=</sup>	Z =3.497	0	).883	

P value< 0.05 is significant, P value< 0.01 is highly significant, SD: Standard deviation, <sup>Z</sup>MWU=Mann-WhitneyUtest,T:Student,WBCs: whitebloodcells, BMI: body mass index, NLR:neutrophil-to-lymphocyteratio, AG ratio: Albumin to globulin ratio, PNI: prognosticnutritionalindex, PFS: progression free survival, TTF: time to treatment failure.

\*Disease control rate (DCR) is defined by cases achieving stable disease or partial response to CHT combined (SD+PR) so If DCR is (YES) means no progression on  $1^{st}$  evaluation to  $1^{st}$  line CHT and vice versa



Figure (1) ROC curve showing (A): NLR in prediction of mortality, (B): PLR in prediction of mortality, (C): PNI in prediction of mortality, (D): A/G ratio in prediction of mortality, (E): CA19-9 in prediction of mortality, (F): NLR, PLR, PNI, AG ratio and CA19.9in prediction of mortality.

# DISCUSSION

Our study found that the age of diagnosis ranged from 35 to 65 years, with a mean age of  $58.0\pm$  7.0 years. Patients more than 60 years were the most typical age group found (43.75%), followed by the age group between 51 and 60 (42.5%), then the age group between 41 and 50 (10%), and the age group

between 30 and 40 (3.75%). More than half of the patients (52.5%) were males, while 47.5% were females, with the male-to-female ratio being 1.1:1.

In agreement with our results, Wuet al. [14] who aimed to determine whether patients with advanced pancreatic cancer had a better chance of survival based on their C-reactive

protein to albumin ratio. The participants' ages ranged from 26 to 85, with a median of 62. Finding patients older than 60 was the most common age group (52.4 percent). There were 156 male patients (66.0%) and 77 female patients (33.0%).

Our study found that regarding PS, more than half of patients (57.5%) were PS-I, while PS-II patients represented 42.5% of the patient population. Our results in keeping with, As stated by Toledano-Fonseca et al. [15], our objective was to assess the predictive power of integrating NLR and PLR with circulating liquid biopsy indicators linked to unfavorable survival outcomes in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. More than half of the patients (51.7%) were classified as PS-I, according to the PS report, whereas 13.8% were classified as PS-II.

In our study, we found that regarding comorbidities, 29 (36.3%) patients were known to be diabetic. Our results agreed withFormica et al. [16],who aimed to investigate the predictive value of NLR in metastatic pancreatic cancer patients. They reported that regarding comorbidities, 25 (24.7%) patients were known to be diabetic.

Our study found that regarding first-line chemotherapy regimens, more than half of patients (57.5%) received mFOLFIRINOX, 42.5% while received Gemcitabine monotherapy. The mean duration of 1<sup>st</sup> chemotherapy was 4.28± 1.71 months and ranged from one month to 12 months. Only  $2^{nd}$ 30 (37.5%)patients received linechemotherapy. Also, Toledano-Fonseca et al. [15] found that regarding chemotherapy regimens, 2 (3.4%) received Gemcitabine monotherapy, and 11 (19%)received mFOLFIRINOX in the first line. In contrast with our results, Dogan et al. [17]reported that chemotherapy regarding regimens, only mFOLFIRINOX (1.6%) received while 34.9% received Gemcitabine monotherapy as the first line. These discrepancies may be attributed to variations in patient populations. In our study, we found that regarding the response to treatment to 1<sup>st</sup> line chemotherapy at first evaluation at three months, 34 out of 80 cases SD 18 cases achieved PR (22.5%), while 28 patients reported progression(35.0%). 52 out of 80 patients

(65.0%) achieved a disease control rate (PR+SD).Our results agreed withVivaldi et al. [18],who reported that regarding the response to treatment, For the entire population, there was one full response (0.6%) and fifty-two partial responses (38%), resulting in an overall ORR of 38.6%. At the initial assessment, 32 patients (or 33.6% of the total) had progressed, whereas 46 patients (or 33.6%) had successfully stabilized their condition. The overall disease control rate (DCR) for the people was 72.2%.

In our study, we found that regarding survival outcome, there were 32 (40%) cases alive within one year, while death was reported in 48 (60%) cases. Our results followedToledano-Fonseca et al. [1°],who found that regarding survival outcome, there were 17 (29.3%) cases alive, while death was reported in 41 (70.7%) cases.

Our study found that the OS was 11.347 months for patients with NLR  $\leq 2.05$  and 6.707 months for patients with NLR  $\geq 2.05$ . OS was significantly lower in patients with NLR  $\geq 2.05$  compared to patients with NLR  $\leq 2.05$  (P < 0.001).Our results were in agreement withDogan et al. [17],who reported that the subgroup with low NLR (3.0) had a median OS of 8.7 months (95 percent CI: 6.7-10.8) and the subgroup with high NLR (>3.0) had a median OS of 4.9 months (95 percent CI: 3.3-6.6). The statistical significance of this difference was found to be p = 0.003.

As wellour results were consistent withMartin et al. [19],who reported that OS was significantly lower in patients with NLR >5 compared to patients with NLR  $\leq$  5 (P <0.001).Also, our results were consistent withToledano-Fonseca et al. [15],who found that patients with higher NLR (>5.52) had significantly poorer OS (108 versus 335 days; p < 0.0001)

Our study found that TTF is the interval from chemotherapy initiation to premature discontinuation due to the progression of unaccepted toxicity. The mean TTF among the studied pancreatic cancer patients was 6.932 months. The mean TTF was 7.075months for patients with NLR  $\leq 2.05$  and 6.670 months for patients with NLR  $\geq 2.05$ . Time to treatment failure showed no significant difference within patients with NLR >2.05 compared to patients with NLR  $\leq 2.05 \text{ (P > 0.05)}.$ 

Our results followed Xue et al. [20],who focused on determining the predictive significance of NLR in patients undergoing palliative treatment for advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Two groups were formed based on the patients' pretreatment NLR values ( $\leq$ 5 or >5).There were 212 patients in group A with pretreatment NLR values of 5 or below and 40 patients in group B with NLR values greater than 5. (group B). The researchers found that group B had a lower TTF (3.1 vs. 8.7 months, P < 0.01) than group A.

Our study found that PFS, which is defined as the time from initiation of treatment to the occurrence of disease progression or death for any cause in all studied patients, was 5.77 months. For patients with NLR  $\leq$ 2.05, the PFS was 7.38 months, while for individuals with NLR >2.0, it was 4.47 months. Patients whose NLR was more significant than 2.05 had a substantially shorter PFS than patients whose NLR was less than or equal to 2.05 (P <0.001).

Our results agreed withDogan et al. [17], who reported that the median time to PFSwas 4.9 months (95% CI: 3.6-6.1). For the subgroup with low NLR (<3.0), the median PFS was determined to be 6.2 months (95 percent CI: 4.5-7.9), but for the cohort with high NLR (>3.0), it was 3.7 months (95 percent CI: 2.3-5.1) (p = 0.04).

Also, our results were in agreement with Toledano-Fonseca et al. [15], who found that patients with higher NLR (>5.52) had significantly poorer PFS (85 versus 232 days; p = 0.0101) rates.

In our study, we found that ROC and NLR had 81.2% sensitivity and 84.4% specificity at a threshold value of 2.05 with AUC = 0.906 and was highly significant (P< 0.001). PLR had 75% sensitivity and 78.1% specificity at a threshold value of 117.3 with AUC = 0.798 and was highly significant (P< 0.001). PNI had 73.7% sensitivity and 96.9% specificity at a threshold value of 34.5 with AUC = 0.646 and was significant (P= 0.019). A/G ratio at a threshold value of 1.1 had 54.2% sensitivity& 75% specificity, with an AUC of 0.619 and was non-significant (P = 0.057). CA19.9 at a

threshold value of 9 had 93.7% sensitivity & 31.2% specificity, with an AUC of 0.564 and was non-significant (P = 0.369).

Our result was consistent with that of Han et al. [21], who aimed to assess pretreatment NLR's role in predicting the prognosis of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Statistical sensitivity and specificity were considered, as well as clinical importance; they concluded that a pretreatment value of 2.5 was the optimal cutoff value. In comparison to patients in the NLR < 2.5 group, individuals in the NLR > 2.5 group had a significantly shorter median OS, according to their data.

Additionally, our results agreed withJing et al. the [22]. whoassessed relevance of inflammation-based prognostic indicators among pancreatic cancer patients, such as lymphocyte-monocyte NLR. PLR, ratio (LMR), albumin (ALB), and a combination of these markers. In 50 patients with pancreatic cancer that has spread to other parts of the body, the researchers found that the best inflammatory 4.5 marker cut-off was (AUC=0.840, 95 percent CI:0.732-0.948, P<0.001), **PLR=138** (AUC=0.671, 95% CI:0.517-0.826, P=0.038),

LMR=4.0(AUC=0.873,95% CI:0.770-0.975, P<0.001), respectively. However, the difference in optimal cutoff value may be attributed to differences in population and inclusion criteria.

Also, our results agreed withDogan et al. [17],who reported that The PLR and PNI areas under the ROC curves were 0.610 and 0.624, respectively, with a 95% confidence interval of 0.391-0.826 and 0.362-0.887, respectively. Regarding PLR, the ROC curve analysis suggested a cut-off value of 141, and for PNI, 51.2. Regarding PLR, the sensitivity rate was 60%, and the specificity rate was 66.7%, whereas when it came to PNI, the rates were 67.4% and 73.4%, respectively. High PLR (>141) was present in over half of the patients (57.5 percent).

Regarding response, progressive disease at first evaluation at three months was significantly higher in cases who had NLR >2.05 (p=0.008). In addition, death at one year was significantly higher in patientswho had NLR >2.05 (p<0.001).

Our study found that WBCs, platelets count, and PLR were significantly higher in cases who had NLR >2.05 compared to cases who had NLR <2.05. Whereasalbumin, AG ratio, Duration of 1st chemotherapy, and progression-free survival were significantly lower in patients with NLR >2.05 compared to cases who had NLR  $\leq$ 2.05.Our results were consistent withXue et al. [20],who reported that platelet count and Platelets lymphocyte ratio were significantly higher in cases who had NLR >5 than those who had NLR  $\leq$  5.

The present study had some limitations, including the sample size, since we included 80 cases. It was a single-centerretrospective study. A large-scalemulticentric study would be valuable to support our findings.

# CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest that NLR may serve as a valuable prognostic and predictive biomarker in the context of advanced pancreatic cancer, aiding in treatment decision-making and patient management

# **Conflict of Interest:** Nothing to declare. **Financial Disclosures:** Nothing to declare **REFERENCES**

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin.2023;73(1):17-48.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2021 [published correction appears in CA Cancer J Clin. 2021 Jul;71(4):359]. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(1):7-33.
- Mohamed AA, Aref AM, Talima SM, A Elshimy RA, Gerges SS, Meghed M, et al. Association of serum level of vitamin D and VDR polymorphism Fok1 with the risk or survival of pancreatic cancer in Egyptian population. *Indian J Cancer*. 2019;56(2):130-4.
- Soliman AS, El-Ghawalby N, Ezzat F, Bondy ML, Soultan A, Abdel-Wahab M, et al.Unusually high rate of young-onset pancreatic cancer in the East Nile Delta region of Egypt. *Int J Gastrointest Cancer*. 2002;32(2-3):143-51.
- Strobel O, Neoptolemos J, Jäger D, Büchler MW. Optimizing the outcomes of pancreatic cancer surgery. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2019;16(1):11-26.
- Thibodeau S, Voutsadakis IA. FOLFIRINOX Chemotherapy in Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Retrospective and Phase II Studies. *J Clin Med*. 2018;7(1):7

- Padoan A, Plebani M, Basso D. Inflammation and Pancreatic Cancer: Focus on Metabolism, Cytokines, and Immunity. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(3):676.
- Le Cosquer G, Maulat C, Bournet B, Cordelier P, Buscail E, Buscail L. Pancreatic Cancer in Chronic Pancreatitis: Pathogenesis and Diagnostic Approach. Cancers (Basel). 2023;15(3):761
- Buonacera A, Stancanelli B, Colaci M, Malatino L. Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio: An Emerging Marker of the Relationships between the Immune System and Diseases. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(7):3636.
- Chen Y, Yan H, Wang Y, Shi Y, Dai G. Significance of baseline and change in neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio in predicting prognosis: a retrospective analysis in advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):753.
- Chun YS, Pawlik TM, Vauthey JN. 8th Edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual: Pancreas and Hepatobiliary Cancers. Ann Surg Oncol. 2018;25(4):845-7.
- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Clin Oncol. 1982;5(6):649-55.
- Bhatti I, Peacock O, Lloyd G, Larvin M, Hall RI. Preoperative hematologic markers as independent predictors of prognosis in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: neutrophil-lymphocyte versus platelet-lymphocyte ratio. Am J Surg. 2010;200(2):197-203.
- Wu M, Guo J, Guo L, Zuo Q. The C-reactive protein/albumin ratio predicts OS of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37(9):12525-33.
- Toledano-Fonseca M, Cano MT, Inga E, Gómez-España A, Guil-Luna S, et al. The Combination of Neutrophil-Lymphocyte Ratio and Platelet-Lymphocyte Ratio with Liquid Biopsy Biomarkers Improves Prognosis Prediction in Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;13(6):1210.
- Formica V, Morelli C, Ferroni P, Nardecchia A, Tesauro M, Pellicori S,et al. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio helps select metastatic pancreatic cancer patients benefitting from oxaliplatin. *Cancer Biomark*. 2016;17(3):335-45.
- 17. Dogan M, Algin E, Guven ZT, Baykara M, Kos TF, Bal O, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio,

platelet-lymphocyte ratio, neutrophil-platelet score and prognostic nutritional index: do they have prognostic significance in metastatic pancreas cancer?. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2018;34(5):857-63.

- Vivaldi C, Caparello C, Musettini G, Pasquini G, Catanese S, Fornaro L,et al. First-line treatment with FOLFOXIRI for advanced pancreatic cancer in clinical practice: Patients' outcome and analysis of prognostic factors. *Int J Cancer*. 2016;139(4):938-45.
- Martin HL, Ohara K, Kiberu A, Van Hagen T, Davidson A, Khattak MA. Prognostic value of systemic inflammation-based markers in advanced pancreatic cancer. *Intern Med J.* 2014;44(7):676-82.
- Xue P, Kanai M, Mori Y, Nishimura T, Uza N, Kodama Y, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for predicting palliative chemotherapy outcomes in advanced pancreatic cancer patients. *Cancer Med.* 2014;3(2):406-15.
- Han R, Tian Z, Jiang Y, et al. Prognostic significance of the systemic immune inflammation index in patients with metastatic and unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Front Surg.* 2022;9:915599.
- 22. Jing S, Youwu S, Jun J, Ying Y, Zhiwei S, Feng D, et al. Prognostic value of peripheral blood inflammation-based markers in metastatic pancreatic cancer[J]. Journal of Capital Medical University. 2022, 43(2): 299-304.

#### **Citation:**

Abdullah, A., El Shorbagy, S., Baraka, A., Elsayed, D. Neutrophil–Lymphocyte Ratio and Clinical Outcome in Advanced Pancreatic Cancer Patients. *Zagazig University Medical Journal*, 2024; (3714-3727): -. doi: 10.21608/zumj.2024.254499.3043