

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.20514.1638

Volume 28, Issue 5, September 2022(999-1008)

Manuscript ID

DOI

ZUMJ-1912-1638 (R1) 10.21608/zumj.2020.20514.1638

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Abnormalities of Chromosome 17 in Patients with Myeloid Malignancies

# Manal Mohammad Easa<sup>1\*</sup>, Nagwa Mohammad Shawky<sup>2</sup>, Nashwa Mohammad Al-Azizi<sup>3</sup>, Rasha Haggag<sup>4</sup>.

alteration of tumor suppressor gene (p53).

Keywords

<sup>1</sup>Assistant lecturer of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine – Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Professor of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine - Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt;

<sup>3</sup> Professor of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine - Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt.

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor of Oncology Medicine, Faculty of Medicine - Zagazig University,

Zagazig, Egypt.

#### \*Corresponding Author:

Name: Manal Mohammad Easa E-mail: dr manaleasa@yahoo.com

Submit Date	2019-12-06
<b>Revise Date</b>	2020-01-13
Accept Date	2020-01-18

ABSTRACT
Background: chromosome 17 abnormalities are non-random cytogenetic
events that occur in hematological and myeloid malignancies. Their
prognostic impact is mostly related to alteration of tumor suppressor gene
p53 by deletion or mutation. The aim of this study is to detect the
frequency and types of chromosome 17 abnormalities and their prognostic
effect in myeloid malignancies patients.
Methods: the study included 50 patients of newly diagnosed myeloid
malignancies divided into three groups: group 1 included 28 AML patients,
group 2 included 7 MDS patients and group 3 included 15 CML patients.
All studied patients groups were subjected to history taking, clinical
examination, routine laboratory investigations, conventional cytogenetic
analysis and FISH for detection of chromosome 17 abnormalities.
<b>Results:</b> Chromosome 17 abnormalities were positive in 52% of myeloid
malignancies patients: structural abnormalities were more frequent (40%)
than numerical abnormalities (12%). Chromosome 17
abnormalities was detected in 67.9% of AML patients.
28.6% of MDS patients, and in 33.3% of CML patients.
<b>Conclusions:</b> Chromosome 17 abnormalities were
frequent cytogenetic events that occur in various myeloid
neoplasms and have poor prognostic impact related to

Chromosome 17 abnormalities, Acute myeloid leukemia, Myelodysplastic syndrome, chronic myeloid leukemia.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

yeloid malignancies are clonal disorders that affect hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and myeloid progenitor cells producing excessive proliferation, abnormal self-renewal, and/or differentiation defects. [1] The major categories of myeloid neoplasms include myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN), myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms (MDS/MPN), acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and related neoplasms, mastocytosis, myeloid neoplasms with germ line prediposition, and myeloid/lymphoid neoplasms with eosinophilia.[2]

Chromosome 17 is a submetacentric autosome that span more than 83 million base pairs and contains between 1,200 and 1,500 genes. One of the most important genes located on the short arm of chromosome 17 is the tumor suppressor gene (TP53) that encodes a DNA-binding protein which responds to DNA damage by either stimulating DNA repair or inducing cell death. Loss or inactivation of TP53 plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of many cancers.[3]

The presence of chromosome 17 abnormalities confer poor outcome and resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs in several hematologic malignancies, the prognostic significance of chromosome 17 abnormalities may be due to loss of 17p13.1 that harbor the genetic locus of the tumor suppressor gene (*TP53*) [4]. The aim of this study was reporting aberrations of chromosome 17 in patients with myeloid malignancies, and to assess their prognostic impact.

#### **METHODS**

The study was conducted on 50 patients with newly diagnosed myeloid malignancies (27 males & 23 females), they were categorized into three main groups; AML, MDS, and CML. Informed written consents were obtained from all patients (or their guardians) to use their samples and clinical data in this study according to the Declaration of Helsinki using a dedicated form. Approval of Institutional Review Board (IRB) was obtained ahead of study.

All studied patients groups were subjected to the following: history taking, clinical examination, Routine Laboratory Investigations including; CBC, liver and kidney function tests, (LDH), (ESR) (BM) examination supplemented with cytochemical stains, and finally immunophenotyping and flow-cytometric analysis.

#### Specific laboratory investigations including: Conventional cytogenetic analysis

Samples from bone marrow aspirates or peripheral blood were cultured then harvested to obtain metaphase chromosomes, after that banding and staining were done. Finally microscope examination of 20 metaphases in order to detect numerical abnormalities and gross structural abnormalities.

#### Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization using

-Xcyting arm specific chromosome paint 17 (XCAP 17) (Metasystem, Germany).

-P53 deletion probe (cytocell, Camridge, UK). - PML/RARα (RAR) translocation, dual fusion probe (cytocell, Camridge, UK).

After dropping of cell pellet, the slides were dehydrated using ethanol with three concentrations, then FISH probe were applied on the target cells, after that denaturation and hybridization was done using Dako Hybrite, then non-specific signals were removed by wash process. Finally DAPI counter stain was applied to visualize signals which was examined using Fluorcent microscope Olympus BX63.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data were collected, tabulated and statistically analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY. Quantitative data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SD and qualitative data were expressed as absolute frequencies (number) & relative frequencies (percentage). Continuous data were checked for normality by using Shapiro Walk test. For Independent samples Student's t-test was used to compare between two groups of normally distributed variables while. F (anova) test was used to compare between more than two independent groups of normally distributed variables. Kruskall Wallius test was used to compare between more than two independent groups of non-normally distributed variables. Percent of categorical variables were compared using Chi-square test or Fisher exact test when appropriate. All tests were two sided. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (S), p-value <0.001 was considered highly significant (HS) and p-value  $\geq 0.05$  was considered statistically insignificant (NS).

#### RESULTS

The demographic features and laboratory data of the studied patients groups are illustrated in tables (1) and (2)

Chromosome 17 abnormalities were positive in (26 out of 50) 52% of myeloid malignancies patients. Regarding frequency of various chromosome 17 abnormalities myeloid in malignancies patients; structural abnormalities were found in 20 patients representing (40%), they were more frequent than numerical abnormalities which were detected in 6 patients (12%). The most frequent among structural abnormalities was t(v; 17)18% followed by t(15;17) and del 17(p13) (p53)representing 8% for each and finally Iso(17q)6% of myeloid malignancies patients. The most frequent among numerical abnormalities was monosomy 17 representing 10% followed by tetrasomy 17 which was present in 2% of patients.

Chromosome 17 abnormalities was detected in 67.9% of AML patients, 28.6% of MDS patients, and in 33.3% of CML patients with no statistically significant differences between the three groups.

The types of chromosome 17 abnormalities detected in the three patients' groups are illustrated in table (3) in which numerical abnormalities were more frequent in AML patients than CML patients, however not found in MDS patients. While structural abnormalities were detected in the three patients groups being more frequent among AML patients. In AML group the most frequent numerical abnormality was monosomy

#### https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.20514.1638

Volume 28, Issue 5, September 2022(999-1008)

17 and the most frequent detected structural abnormality was t(v;17). In MDS group only two patients had structural abnormalities one was t(v;17) and the other was iso(17q). In CML group only one patient had monosomy 17. while structural abnormalities were detected in four patients including del 17 and iso(17q) . There (p13) (p53) were statistically non-significant differences between the three groups regarding the distribution of chromosome 17 abnormalities. Regarding association with standard prognostic

parameters; AML patients with abnormal chromosome 17 had significant higher total leucocyte count also they were significantly related to unfavorable cytogenetic risk group, however no significant association with age, sex, hemoglobin concentration, and platelet count as showed in table (4).

MDS patients with chromosome 17 abnormalities showed no Statistically significant association with standard prognostic parameters such as; age, sex, WHO subtype, hemoglobin concentration, absolute neutrophil count, Platelet count, number of cytopenias, percent of bone marrow blast, and IPSS risk group as showed in table (5).

Patients with CML showed Statistically nonsignificant differences positive and negative for chromosome 17 abnormalities regarding; age, sex, spleen size, total leukocyte count, hemoglobin concentration, and platelet count as showed in table (6).

Table 1: Demographic data of the studied groups:	
--	--

	Group AML (No=28	<b>1</b> 3)	Group MDS (No=7)	2	Group 3 CML (No=15)		F test	р
Age Mean ± SD Range	44.3±13 (18-64)	5.3	57.14±9 (48-73)	9.5	42.7±13.7 (25-64)	7	1.9	0.14 (NS)
Sex	No	%	No	%	No	%	$\chi^2$	0.99 (NS)
Male	15	53.6	4	57.1	8	53.3	0.086	
Female	13	46.4	3	42.9	7	46.7		

SD: standard deviationNS: non-significantF: Anova test of significanceχ2: chisquareNo: number of subjectsAML: acute myeloid leukemiaMDS: myelodysplastic syndromeCML: chronic myeloid leukemia

	Table 2:	Laboratory	data	of	myeloid	malignancies	patients	groups	(AML, MDS & CML)
--	----------	------------	------	----	---------	--------------	----------	--------	------------------

Variable	Group 1 AML (No=28)	Group 2 MDS (No=7)	Group 3 CML (No=15)	KW test	Р
Total leucocyte count				19.7	<0.0001(S)
(x109/L)	35.6±29.7	4.5±6.1	159.2±127.6		
Mean ± SD	(1-121.7)	(1.2-20.2)	(3.3-354.3)		
Range					
Peripheral blood	61.1±27.1	0.71±1.25	7.3±7.5	35.5	
blasts(%)	(5-95)	(0-3)	(0-26)		<0.0001(S)
Mean± SD					
Range					
Hemoglobin(gm/dl)	7.6±1.6	7.5±0.79	9±1.97	F=4.045	
Mean± SD	(4.6-11.7)	(6.5 - 8.7)	(4.2-12.5)		0.024(S)
Range					
Platelets(x109/L)	41.3±36.5	147±171.8	331±216	25.9	
Mean± SD	(9-140)	(19-487)	(45-826)		<0.0001(S)
Range					
Bone marrow blasts	71±19.3	4.1±5.1	12.3±14.8	35.2	
(%)	(34-96)	(0-11)	(0-51)		0.0(S)
Mean± SD					
Range					
ESR (mm/hr)	95.5±26	$48 \pm 20.4$	61±32.2	19.36	
Mean± SD	(50-160)	(20-80)	(20-120)		<0.0001(S)
Range					
					100110

Easa, M., et al

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.20514.1638

Volume 28, Issue 5, September 2022(999-1008)

Variable	Group 1 AML (No=28)	Group 2 MDS (No=7)	Group 3 CML (No=15)	KW test	Р		
LDH (IU/L) Mean± SD Range	697±308.7 (280-1321)	167.4±29.9 (135-225)	774±255.8 (354-1442)	18.17	<0.0001(S)		
KW: Kruskall Wallius test s: significant AML: acute myeloid leukemia							

MDS: myelodysplastic syndrome

CML: chronic myeloid leukemia

Table 3: Types of chromosome 17 abnormalities in myeloid malignancies patients groups (AML, MDS& CML)

Chromosome 17 abnormalities	Group 1 AML		Group 2 MDS		Group	3 CML	$\chi^2$	Р
	(No=28)	0	(No=7)		(No=15	5)	test	
	No	%	No	%	No	%		
Numerical abnormalities								
Positive	5	17.9	0	0	1	6.7	2.3	0.3(NS)
Negative	23	82.1	7	100	14	93.3		
Monsomy 17								
Positive	4	14.3	0	0	1	6.7	1.5	0.46(NS )
Negative	24	85.7	7	100	14	93.3		
Tetrosomy 17								
Positive	1	3.6	0	0	0	0	0.18	0.67(NS )
Negative	27	96.4	7	100	15	100		
Structural abnormalities								
Positive	14	50	2	28.6	4	26.7	2.66	0.26(NS )
Negative	14	50	5	71.4	11	73.3		
del 17 (p13)(p53)*								
Positive	2	7.1	0	0	2	13.3	1.2	0.54(NS )
Negative	26	92.9	7	100	13	86.7		
t(15;17)								
Positive	4	14.3	0	0	0	0	3.4	0.18(NS )
Negative	24	85.7	7	100	15	100		,
t(v;17)								
Positive	8	28.6	1	14.3	0	0	5.4	0.06(NS )
Negative	20	71.4	6	85.7	15	100		
Iso(17q)								
Positive	0	0	1	14.3	2	13.3	4.1	0.13(NS )
Negative	28	100	6	85.7	13	86.7		

 $\chi^2$ : chi square test No: number of patients NS: non-significant AML: acute myeloid leukemia MDS: myelodysplastic syndrome CML: chronic myeloid leukemia \*del 17 (p13)(p53) that was present as a sole anomaly as all cases with iso(17q), monosomy 17 and some cases with t(v;17) were associated with del 17 (p13)(p53)

Parameters	Chrom	osome 17 abr	ormalities	Test	Р	
	Negativ	ve(No=9)	Positiv	ve(No=19)		
	No	%	No	%		
Age (years)						
<60	6	66.7	12	63.2	Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
≥60	3	33.3	7	36.8		
Sex						
Male	5	55.6	10	52.6	Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
Female	4	44.4	9	47.4		
Hemoglobin						
<8 gm/dl	4	44.4	12	63.2	Fisher Exact	0.43(NS)
≥8 gm/dl	5	55.6	7	36.8		
Total leucocyte count						
<50 x10^9/l	6	66.7	5	26.3	Fisher Exact	0.0008( <b>HS</b> )
≥50 x10^9/l	3	33.3	14	73.7		
Platelet count						
<80 x10^9/l	8	88.9	15	78.9	Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
≥80 x10^9/l	1	11.1	4	21.1		
Cytogenetic risk group						
Favorable	2	22.2	4	21.1	$\chi^2 = 10.7$	0.005 ( <b>S</b> )
Intermediate	6	66.7	2	10.5		
Unfavorable	1	11.1	13	68.4		
$\gamma^2$ . chi square test			No	number of 1	natients	

Table 4: Association between chromosome 17 abnormalities in group 1 (AML) patients and standard prognostic parameters

 $\chi^2$ : chi square test NS: non-significant

No: number of patients

S: significant

HS: highly significant

Table 5: Association between Chromosome 17 abnormalities in group 2 (MDS) patients and standard prognostic parameters

Parameters	C	hromosome 17	Test	P		
	Negati	ve (No=5)	Positiv	ve(No=2)		
	No	%	(2)	%		
Age (years)					Fisher Exact	0.14(NS)
<60	4	80	0	0		
≥60	1	20	2	100		
Sex					Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
Male	3	60	1	50		
Female	2	40	1	50		
WHO (2016)subtype					χ <sup>2</sup> =3.7	0.15(NS)
MDS-SLD	2	40	0	0		
MDS-MLD	2	40	0	0		
MDS-EB	1	20	2	100		
Hemoglobin					Fisher Exact	1.0(NS)
<10 gm/dl	5	100	2	100		
≥10 gm/dl	0	0	0	0		
Absolute neutrophil count					Fisher Exact	0.43(NS)
<1.8 x10^9/l	2	40	2	100		
≥1.8 x10^9/l	3	60	0	0		
Platelet count					Fisher Exact	0.43(NS)
<100 x10^9/1	2	40	2	100		
≥100 x10^9/1	3	60	0	0		
Cytopenias					Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
0-1	2	40	0	0		
Easa, M., et al					1	003   P a g e

Parameters	Cl	nromosome 17 a		Test	Р	
	Negativ	ve (No=5)	Positive(No=2)			
	No	%	(2)	%		
2-3	3	60	2	100		
BM Blast percent					Fisher Exact	0.29(NS)
<5%	4	80	0	0		
≥5%	1	20	2	100		
IPSS risk group*		80			Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
Low risk MDS	4		1	50		
		20				
High risk MDS	1		1	50		

\*low risk MDS includes: low and intermediate-1 while high risk MDS includes intermediate-2 and high IPSS risk groups

 $\chi^2$ : chi square test No: number of patients NS: non-significant

MDS: Myelodysplastic syndrome, MDS-SLD: MDS with single lineage dysplasia, MDS-MLD: MDS with multi lineage dysplasia, MDS-EB: MDS with excess blast

Table 6:	Association between	Chromosome	17	abnormalities	of	group	3	(CML)	and	standard
prognostic	parameters									

Parameter	Chromo	romosome 17 abnormalities			Test	P
	Negative(No=10)		Positive(No=5)			
	No	%	No	%		
Age (years)						
<60	7	70	5	100	Fisher Exact	0.55(NS)
≥60	3	30	0	0		
Sex						
Male	7	70	1	20	Fisher Exact	0.12(NS)
Female	3	30	4	80		
Spleen size						
Moderate	3	30	2	40	Fisher Exact	0.33(NS)
Huge	7	70	3	60		
Total leukocyte count						
<100 x10^9/l	4	40	3	60	Fisher Exact	0.61(NS)
≥100 x10^9/l	6	60	2	40		
Hemoglobin						
<10 gm/dl	6	60	5	100	Fisher Exact	0.23(NS)
≥10 gm/dl	4	40	0	0		
Platelet count						
<600 x10^9/l	9	90	5	100	Fisher Exact	0.99(NS)
≥600 x10^9/l	1	10	0	0		

No: number of patients

NS: non-significant



Figure 1: Normal chromosome 17 by arm specific probe



Figure 2: t(15;17) by dual fusion probe



Figure 3:del 17(p13) (p53) by locus specific identifier (LSI)

#### DISCUSSION

Myeloid malignancies are clonal disorders which affect hematopoietic stem cells and myeloid progenitor cells resulting in excessive proliferation, abnormal self-renewal, and/or differentiation defects [1].

High frequency of chromosome 17 abnormalities has been reported in some human cancers. The presence of chromosome 17 abnormalities confer poor outcome and resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs in several hematologic malignancies, which may be due to loss of the tumor suppressor gene p53 [4].

In the present study; Chromosome 17 abnormalities were detected in 52% (26/50) of the studied myeloid malignancies patients including 67.9% (19/28) of AML, 28.6% (2/7) MDS, and 33.3% (5/15) CML patients with no significant difference between the three groups. These results are in accordance with that reported by another Chinese study [5]. However higher than reported previously in AML [6], MDS [7] and CML [8].

The majority of abnormalities detected in this work were structural especially Chromosome 17 translocations , numerical abnormalities (monosomy and tetrasomy) were less frequent. These findings agree with the result of another study except for that the only detected numerical abnormality was monosomy 17 [5]. Trisomy 17 was previously reported in 70% of well and moderately differentiated oral squamous cell carcinoma [9]

In this work structural abnormalities were present in 50% (14/28) AML, 28.6% (2/7) MDS, and 26.7% (4/15) CML patients with statistically non-significant difference. Quite similar frequencies in AML 56.3% (9/16) and CML 33.3% (12/36) were reported by another study in which structural abnormalities were detected at higher percent of MDS patients 56.3% (9/16) [5] this difference may be attributed to the genetic variations among studied populations.

In the present study numerical abnormalities were found in 17.9% (5/28) AML, 6.7% (1/15) CML and not detected in MDS patients, there was no statistically significant difference between the three patient groups. These results agree with another earlier study in the reported frequency in AML patients 14.2% (3/21), and disagree with it regarding the frequency in CML 13.9% (5/36) and MDS 18.8% (3/16) patients [5].

In the present study AML patients show no significant difference between normal and

abnormal chromosome 17 regarding age and sex. On the other hands previous studies reported significant differences regarding age and sex [6], [10], age not sex [12]. The differences may be related to the variation in sample size.

As regard to hematological data of AML patients; the current study revealed highly significant association of chromosome 17 abnormalities with higher total leucocyte count and no significant association with hemoglobin concentration and platelet count. These findings go hand in hand with previously reported results that significant association with TLC [10], [12] and non-significant association with hemoglobin concentration and platelet count [12]

In this work; it was revealed that 68.4% of patients with chromosome AML 17 abnormalities significantly related are to unfavorable, cytogenetic risk category this is in accordance with an earlier study [6]. Moreover; other authors reported statistically significant association between p53 deletion and complex karvotype [12].

In the present study the two MDS patients with chromosome 17 abnormalities were older than 60 years, one patient was male and the other was female, chromosome 17 abnormalities were not significantly associated with age and sex. This is in agreement with previously reported results [4], [11].

In this work the two MDS patients with abnormal chromosome 17 were of MDS-EB-1 and MDS-EB-2 subtypes according to WHO (2016) classification with significant no association chromosome between 17 abnormalities and WHO subtypes. This is consistent with previously reported results [4]. Another earlier study classifies MDS patients according to WHO (2008) and reported that the most commonly encountered subtypes among patients positive for chromosome 17 abnormalities were RAEB-1 and RAEB-2 [11]

In our recent study; concerning hematological parameters the two MDS patients positive for chromosome 17 abnormalities had pancytopenia with hemoglobin less than 10 gm/dl. absolute neutrophil count less than 1.8x10^9/1 and platelet count less than 100x10^9/l, there was no significant association between chromosome 17 abnormalities and hematological parameters which is similar to that reported by other authors [4]. Another larger study reported that

Volume 28, Issue 5, September 2022(999-1008)

anemia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia were detected in (72.4%), (65.9%), (58.6%) of patients with abnormal chromosome 17; respectively [11]

Bone marrow examination of MDS patients with chromosome 17 abnormalities revealed more than 5% blast with no significant association between presence of abnormality and bone marrow blast percent. On the other hand the threshold of 5% blast was previously exceeded at lower frequency 68.2% [11] and significant association was previously reported [4] . The possible explanation for these differences may be attributed to small sample size in this study when compared with the others.

Concerning IPSS risk stratification the present study showed that MDS patients with chromosome 17 non-significantly abnormal related to intermediate-1 and intermediate-2 risk groups. This finding partially agrees with another larger study in which MDS patient abnormal chromosome 17 with were distributed among intermediate-1, intermediate-2. and high IPSS risk categories [11].

Moreover other authors used revised IPSS-R for risk stratification of MDS patients into five risk groups and the majority of chromosome 17 abnormalities positive patients were significantly related very high risk group [4]. This difference is possibly related to the small number of MDS patients in the present study.

Chromosome 17 abnormalities although relatively uncommon in MDS, they may be significant especially due to prognostically their correlation with loss of TP53. The presence of chromosome 17 abnormalities were associated with lower OS related to the fact that, if iso(17q) was excluded as it was found only as an isolated abnormality most of them were present in association with complex karyotype or chromosome 7 abnormalities that confer poor survival [4]. Moreover; abnormal chromosome 17 was associated with lower MCV that has poor prognosis [4], [13]. The prognosis of patients with chromosome 17 abnormalities may be altered by the use of hypomethylating agents [4]

of Iso(17q)is the only abnormality 17 chromosome that has already been characterized and used in the prognostic scoring systems for MDS as it has been defined as an intermediate cytogenetic risk lesion in the IPSS-R. It occurs in correlation

with male sex, mixed myelodysplastic and myeloproliferative features, pseudopelger anomaly of neutrophil nuclei, and high risk for acute myeloid leukemia [4].

In the present study all CML patients with chromosome 17 abnormalities were less than 60 years old, 80% of them were females. There was no significant difference between patients with and without abnormalities regarding age and sex. Similar findings were previously reported [8]. Other study revealed lower incidence in females [14].

Regarding spleen size; 60% of CML patients associated with abnormal chromosome 17 had huge splenomegaly, and 40% had moderate splenomegaly and no significant association between presence of abnormality and the size of the spleen. These findings agree with another Egyptian study [8].

Concerning hematological parameter; CML showed that chromosome patients 17 abnormalities were not significantly associated leucocyte counts, hemoglobin with total concentration and platelet count. This is in agreement with other authors who found also significant association between iso(17q) and bad patients outcome, shorter event-free survival in all phases. [8], [15]

Ph-negative myeloid neoplasms with isolated iso(17q) represent a clinicopathologic entity associated with a high risk for leukemic transformation [16].

Previous study concluded that the genetic or functional inactivation of the p53 pathway plays an important role as regard to disease progression from the CP to BP and imatinib treatment response in CML and this was in accordance with our recent work [17].

#### CONCLUSIONS

Chromosome 17 abnormalities were frequent cytogenetic events that occur in various myeloid neoplasms.Structural abnormalities of chromosome 17 were much more frequent than numerical.Chromosome 17 abnormalities have poor prognostic impact related to alteration of tumor suppressor gene (p53).

# Conflict of Interest: None

# Financial Disclosures: None

## REFERENCES

- Korn C, Méndez-Ferrer S. Myeloid malignancies and the microenvironment. Blood 2017; 129 (7): 811-22.
- 2- Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, Thiele J, Borowitz MJ, Le Beau MM et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. Blood 2016; 127 (20): 2391-405.

- 3- Marcellino BK, Hoffman R, Tripodi J, Lu M, Kosiorek H, Mascarenhas J et al. Advanced forms of MPNs are accompanied by chromosomal abnormalities that lead to dysregulation of TP53. Blood Adv. 2018 ;2 (24): 3581-9.
- 4- Diamantopoulos P, Koumbi D, Kotsianidis I, Pappa V, Symeonidis A, Galanopoulos A et al. The prognostic significance of chromosome 17 abnormalities in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome treated with 5-azacytidine: Results from the Hellenic 5-azacytidine registry. Cancer Med 2019; 8 (5): 2056-63.
- 5- Zhu Y, Xu W, Liu Q, Pan J, Qiu H, Wang R et al. Abnormalities of chromosome 17 in myeloid malignancies with complex chromosomal abnormalities. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi 2008; 25 (5): 579-82.
- 6- Mori J, Yanada M, Uchida N, Fukuda T, Sakura T, Hidaka M et al. Outcomes of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia patients with abnormalities of the short arm of chromosome 17. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2017; 23(8):1398-404.
- 7- Haase D, Germing U, Schanz J, Pfeilstöcker M, Nösslinger T, Hildebrandt B et al. New insights into the prognostic impact of the karyotype in MDS and correlation with subtypes: evidence from a core dataset of 2124 patients. Blood 2007; 110(13): 4385-95.
- 8- El Gendi HM, Fouad DA, Mohamed AA, Eissa DG, Mostafa NN. Clinicopathologic features and prognostic impact of isochromosome 17q in chronic myeloid leukemia patients. The Egyptian Journal of Haematology 2016; 41 (1): 9-14
- 9- Zedan W, Mourad MI, El-Aziz SM, Salamaa NM, Shalaby AA. Cytogenetic significance of chromosome 17 aberrations and P53 gene mutations as prognostic markers in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Diagn Pathol. 2015; 10 (1):2.
- 10-Seifert H, Mohr B, Thiede C, Oelschlägel U, Schäkel U, Illmer T et al. The prognostic impact of

17p (p53) deletion in 2272 adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2009; 23 (4): 656-63

- 11-Sánchez-Castro J, Marco-Betés V, Gómez-Arbonés X, Arenillas L, Valcarcel D, Vallespí T et al. Characterization and prognostic implication of 17 chromosome abnormalities in myelodysplastic syndrome. Leuk Res. 2013; 37 (7): 769-76.
- 12- Ismail MA, Ahmed TM, Ahmed IZ. Role of P53 deletion in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. The Egyptian Journal of Haematology 2012; 37 (4): 252-6.
- 13- Wang H, Wang X, Xu X, Lin G. Mean corpuscular volume predicts prognosis in MDS patients with abnormal karyotypes. Ann Hematol. 2010; 89 (7): 671-9.
- 14- Wang W, Cortes JE, Tang G, Khoury JD, Wang S, Bueso-Ramos CE et al. Risk stratification of chromosomal abnormalities in chronic myelogenous leukemia in the era of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. Blood 2016; 127(22): 2742-50.
- 15- Verma D, Kantarjian H, Shan J, O'Brien S, Estrov Z, Garcia-Manero G et al. Survival outcomes for clonal evolution in chronic myeloid leukemia patients on second generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. Cancer 2010; 116 (11): 2673-81.
- 16- Kanagal-Shamanna R, Bueso-Ramos CE, Barkoh B, Lu G, Wang S, Garcia-Manero G et al. Myeloid neoplasms with isolated isochromosome 17q represent a clinicopathologic entity associated with myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative features, a high risk of leukemic transformation, and wild-type TP53. Cancer 2012; 118 (11): 2879–88
- 17- Liu YC, Hsiao HH, Yang WC, Liu TC, Chang CS, Yang MY et al. MDM2 promoter polymorphism and p53 codon 72 polymorphism in chronic myeloid leukemia: The association between MDM2 promoter genotype and disease susceptibility, age of onset, and blast-free survival in chronic phase patients receiving imatinib. Molecular carcinogenesis 2014; 53(12):951-9.

#### To Cite

Easa, M., Shawky, N., Al-Azizi, N., Haggag, R. Abnormalities Of Chromosome 17 In Patients With Myeloid Malignancies.. *Zagazig University Medical Journal*, 2022; (999-1008): -. doi: 10.21608/zumj.2020.20514.1638