



 Manuscript ID
 ZUMJ-1906-1276 (R2)

 DOI
 10.21608/zumj.2020.14010.1276

 Original Article
 Article

Original Article

Outcome of Gamma Nail Fixation in Unstable Trochanteric Fracture of Femur

⁽¹⁾Alaa Ahmed Mostafa El-Negahy,⁽¹⁾Amr Mohamed Ahmed El-Adawy,⁽¹⁾Tarek Abdel-Samad El-Hewala,⁽²⁾Abdulla Milad Ali Hreik

⁽¹⁾Department of Orthopedic SurgeryFaculty of Medicine –ZagazigUniversity,Egypt. ⁽²⁾Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Tripoli University Stated in Libya

Commence Para Aradhana				
CorrespondingAuthor:	ABSTRACT			
Abdulla Milad Ali Hreik	Background: Pertrochanteric fractures are a common problem with an increasing			
	incidence specially among old age groups.			
Email:	Objectives: Accurate realignment, rigid fixation, decreasing comorbidities during surgical			
drabdullah5hreek.4@gmail.co	intervention is the main goal during treatment of these fractures as well as early			
m	mobilization Evaluation the results of Gamma nail in treatment unstable trochanteric			
—	fracture .			
Submit Date 2019-06-28	Patients & Methods: This is a prospective study included 18 patients with ages ranged			
Submit Date 2019-00-28	from (53 to 78 years with mean (65.11 years) with closed unstable trochanteric fractures			
Revise Date 2020-01-19	managed between September 2017 and February 2019 at Zigzag university hospital and			
Accept Date 2020-01-22	Zliten teaching hospital fixed with gamma nail.			
Песері Бине 2020 01 22	Results: This study that Gamma nail provides a good choice of treatment of per			
	trochanteric fractures. Younger patients recover better than older patients72.2% were			
	satisfactory (good & excellent) and 27.8% were unsatisfactory (poor&			
	fair) results according to Harris hip score at last postoperative follow up,			
	Conclusions: Gamma nail provides a biomechanically stable construct,			
	proved by minimal or negligible incidence of implant-related failures.			
	Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of			
	interest.			
	This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public,			
	commercial, or not-for-profit sector.			

INTRODUCTION

Inter-trochanteric femoral fractures occur between the greater and lesser trochanters and are commonly observed in the elderly it is one of the most important causes of mortality and morbidity in the geriatric population_[1]

The incidence of trochanteric fractures has increased significantly during the last few decades becoming important in terms of social and economic issue. Currently, internal fixation devices for treating unstable intertrochanteric femoral fractures are classified into extramedullary and intramedullary fixation devices, both of which show advantage and disadvantages [2.3]Extra-medullary fixation, as the dynamic hip screw (DHS) method, has always been universally accepted as the "gold standard" for treating intertrochanteric femoral fractures. However, DHS method has a relatively high failure rate in treating unstable intertrochanteric femoral fracture [4] The gamma nail is a classic intramedullary fixation device for treatment intertrochanteric fractures that was developed by combining the sliding hip screw

and intramedullary nail system_[5]Primary nail is placed in the intra medullary cavity, creating central fixation _[6]Several advantages of the gamma nail have been proposed, such as its application to the femoral head and neck and femoral shaft to increase stability at fracture sites and to promote healing_[7]

In addition, its fixed application through the medullary cavity allows for early functional exercise and full weight-bearing of the affected limb. The standardized surgical technique for implementing gamma nails is accessible for surgeons, and the duration of operation is short, resulting in small operative wounds. However, it is unsuitable for patients with occlusive medullary cavities, very large anterior arches in femur shafts, and those with the risk of fat embolism. The complications of the gamma nail include aggravated intertrochanteric fractures and stress fractures of the distal femoral shaft during the insertion of the main nail [8]

Aim of The Work: This study is to evaluative outcome of Gamma nail fixation in patients with

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.14010.1276 Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(198-204) Supplement Issue

unstable trochanteric fractures.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study included 18 patients who had been admitted to zigzag University Hospital having traumatic per trochanteric fractures of the femur between September 2017 and Fubruery 2019 at Zigzag university hospital fixed with gamma nail.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the research ethical committee of the department and the faculty of medicine. Zagazig University. The work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.Patients Selection: Inclusion criteria: Patients above the age of 18, Patients fit for surgery. Unstable intertrochanteric according to classification with Evans lateral cortex commination, type Ic. with medial cortex commination, type Id. and Reversed obliquity, type II. Time of trauma: less than 14 days, Isolated fracture. Exclusion criteria: Patients unable to weight bear prior to fracture, Open fractures, Proximal femoral deformities, Pathological fractures other than osteoporosis and active infection. Methods: On admission all patients were subjected to history taking, clinical examination and laboratory investigations. Full counseling of participants in this research and informed consent was obtained with full privacy of participants and confidentiality of the data. History Taking, Personal history: Name, Age, Sex, Residency and occupation. The age of the patients ranged from 53 -78 years with the mean value of 65.11 years and a standard deviation of 72years(Table1)7 patients in this study were males (38.9%), while 11were females (61.1%)(Table1). Bilateral cases were not encountered in this study. The right side was affected in 9 patient (50%), while the left was affected in 9 patient (50%). 2 patients (11.1%)

were injured in road traffic accidents (RTAs), 13 patients (72.2%) had a simple fall, 3 patients (16.7%) were injured while climbing stairs,Trochanteric fractures had a medical history of different systemic diseases. In this study 14 patients fractures (77.8%) were Evan's type I, 4 (22.2%) were Evan's type II, The time before operation ranged from 2-5 days with mean of 3.11 days (S.D. \pm 1.13).

Statistical analysis:

Data collected throughout history, basic clinical examination, laboratory investigations and outcome measures coded, entered and analyzed using Microsoft Excel software. Data were then imported into Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 20.0) (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) software for analysis. P value was set at <0.05 for significant results & <0.001 for high significant result.

RESULTS:

The 18 patients of the study 7 cases (38.9%) had excellent result, 6 patients (33.3%) had good results, 3 patients (16.7%) had fair results and 2 patient (11.2%) had poor results according to Harris hip score .In this study according to Harris hip scoring system, there were 7 patients with excellent results with average score of 93.4 points, 6 patients with good results with average score of 83.9 points, 3 patients with fair results with average score of 75 points and 2 patients with poor results with average score of 60 points Patients with excellent and good results were considered satisfactory and those with fair and poor results satisfactory (poor& fair) and 72.2% were satisfactory (good & excellent) There were11.1% nonunion and 16.7% had infection, 11.1% had implant failure. (Table 2)

Age / years	Mean± SD	65.11±7.29	65.11±7.29 (53-78)	
	Range	(53-78)		
		Ν	%	
Sex	Male	7	38.9	
	Female	11	61.1	
	Total	18	100.0	

Table1: Age and sex distribution among studied group:

Table 2: outcome and Complication distribution:

		Ν	%	
Nonunion	No	16	88.9	
	Yes	2	11.1	
Infection	No	15	83.3	

		Ν	%
	Yes	3	16.7
Implanted failure	No	16	88.9
	Yes	2	11.1
Harris hip score	Excellent	7	38.9
	Good	6	33.3
	Fair	3	16.7
	Poor	2	11.1
Outcome according to Harris hip score	Satisfactory	13	72.2
	Unsatisfactory	5	27.8
	Total	18	100.0

Relation between outcome and complication

There was significant correlation between nonunion and final clinical results. P.value 0.016 (statistically significant). Where the two non-union were in the unsatisfactory group. There was significant correlation between implanted failure and final clinical results. P. value 0.016 (statistically significant). Where the two implant failure were in the unsatisfactory group. (table 3)

Table 3 : Relation between outcome and complication

			Satisfactory		Total	\mathbf{X}^2	Р
			Satisfactory (N=13)	Unsatisfactory (N=5)			
Nonunion	No	Ν	13	3	16	5.85	0.016*
		%	100.0%	60.0%	88.9%		
	Yes	N	0	2	2		
		%	0.0%	40.0%	11.1%		
Infection	No	N	12	3	15	2.71	0.099
		%	92.3%	60.0%	83.3%		
	Yes	N	1	2	3		
		%	7.7%	40.0%	16.7%		
Implanted	No	N	13	3	16	5.85	0.016*
failure		%	100.0%	60.0%	88.9%		
	Yes	N	0	2	2		
		%	0.0%	40.0%	11.1%		
Total		N	13	5	18		
		%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

DISCUSSION

Inter-trochanteric fractures are very frequently faced by orthopedic surgeons worldwide. Increase in the incidence of these fractures are seen in the 5th decade of life onwards, Age of patient, osteoporosis, general health, associated co morbidities are some of the key factors to be considered for the successful treatment of these fractures .Conservative treatment is poorly tolerated by elderly patients and it is also associated with complications like decubitus ulcers, deep vein thrombosis, and aspiration pneumonitis .Therefor surgical treatment is preferred option of treatment as it facilitates early mobilization and functional recovery. ^[9]Dynamic hip screw is time tested extramedullary load bearing device used for fixation of trochanteric fractures. It works on the principal of controlled concentric collapse at fracture site. But it also has disadvantages like it requires larger surgical exposure leading to more blood loss, devices causes excessive collapse at fracture site, possibilities of cut out of lag screw from femoral head if not placed properly In osteoporotic bones and unstable fractures complications like fracture instability, excessive emedialization fracture fragment may lead to pain and deformity^[9]

The Cephalomedullary nails with Trochanter tip as entry portal are load sharing implants, as they are placed close to the mechanical axis of femur, they have short lever arm. In unstable trochanteric fractures control of axial load transmission and rotational stability are important factors which are effectively managed by intramedullary devices they are considered biomechanically stronger than extramedullary devices Identification of Tip of trochanter is easy and requires less soft tissue dissection .Gamma nail the original design of cephalomedullary nail has provision of single screw placement in the femoral head, but single screw construct was considered unstable for trochanteric fractures which led to introduction of proximal femoral nail in 1997by AO/ASIF which has provision of two screw placement in the femoral head. This system of Antirotation screw and cervical load bearing screw in this nail makes this construct biomechanically very stable . As compared to Gamma nail there is less incidence of fracture of femoral shaft below the nail tip But Proximal femoral nail may also have complications like Reverse Z effect, Z effect, lateral wall of trochanter fracture in osteoportic bone ,incidence of fracture of femoral shaft below the nail tip cannot be rolled out_[9]In this study, 18 patients with unstable trochanteric fractures were treated using the gamma nial; 14 were type I and 4 were type II according to Evans classification [10] The functional outcome of these cases was assessed by the Harris hip score after a minimum of 6 months post-operative follow up. 13 patients achieving satisfactory results (7 excellent and 6 good) with a percentage of 72.2% and 5 patients achieving non satisfactory results (3 fair and 2 poor). with a percentage of 27.8%. This result was found to be better than Daivesh P Shah et al_[11] with only 70 % of patients with favorable outcome. On the other hand it was inferior to those reported by (JThiyageswaran, et al) [12] with 88.75% favorable outcome and A Dhar et $al_{[13]}$ who reported73.3% favorable outcomeand Ahmad M et al_[14] who reported 79.3% favorable outcome and Faisal Younis et al_[15] who reported 90-100% favorable outcome. The ages of the patients in this study ranged from53to78 with a mean of 65.11 ± 7.29 years, which conforms to the mean age reported by Faisal et al_[16] who reported mean age of 64.8 and Yadkika et al [9] who reported mean age of 67(Table 12). Age was a significant factor in determining the final outcome.Where age in the our study 13 of patients below the age of 62 ± 76

had satisfactory results, while only 5 of patients above the age of 71.8 had unsatisfactory results. And there were significant correlation between age and final outcome. P. value = 0.023. In this study, males were 7 cases, and females were 11 cases, with ratio of (1:1.6), There was a female sex preponderance seen in our study. This as in the **A Dhar et al**_[13]**Lei, JieShen,et a** _[3] Studies while for **Daivesh Pet al**_[11], **Ahmad M et al**_[14], **Yadkika et al**., _[9] There was a male sex preponderanc. There was no difference in results in relation to sex.

In this study 13 cases suffered the fracture as a result of simple fall with a percentage of 72.2% while only 3 patients suffered a relatively higher energy trauma presenting in falling down the stairs with a percentage of 16.7%, There was significant correlation between Mode of trauma and final clinical results this conforms to other studies such as **A Dhar et al** [13] Where simple fall at home and showing predominance of a domestic fall by `72.2%.(Table 14) P.value 0.006.there was no difference in results in relation to mechanism of trauma.Where It was noticed that the lower the age of the patient the higher the energy required to cause the fracture in this study 18 patients were treated with Gamma nail, 9 patients had right side injury while 9 had left side injury, there was no significant correlation between the side affected and the final functional results. which conforms to the side affected reported by (**Daivesh Pet al**_[11], Ahmad M et al[14]. Yadkika et al

^[9] the interval from the time of admission to the time of surgery in this study was 2-5days, and the mean interval was 3.11 days .The short the time from addmission to surgery that's better is result. Which conforms Faisal Younis et al_[15]A Dharetal **al**_[14], hypertension and diabetes mellitus and ischemic heart diseases were the other common comorbid conditions seen commonly in our patients. diabetes mellitus44.4% Hypertension44.4%, And ischemic heart dissese 27.8%, there was no significant statistical correlation between clinical results and patients, Comorbities study of diabetes have no correlation with the final result , In the Faisal Younisetal_[15], diabetics this conforms to other studies .The time of union in this study ranged from 2-6 months with a mean of 3.5 ± 1.21 months. All patients treated with the Gamma nail achieved union. Except 2 patient 11.1 had nonunion, The 13 patients of the satisfactory results united at mean time of 3.07 ± 1.03 month, While 3 of 5 patients unsatisfactory results patient united at the mean time 4.6 ± 1.5 months.there was significant correlation between union time and final clinical results. Faisal et al_[15], Halder et al [16] (reported one case of non-union out of 76 cases of unstable intertrochanteric fractures. Leung etal [17] reported one non-union out of 93, In the our study the nonunion cases there is one deep infection and the other was with neck absorption. Union time I n this study was from 3.07 to 4.6 months in the satisfactory and unsatisfactory groups this is related to conservation of fracture haematoma, less invasive surgery with less softtissuedissection, provision of internal bone graft via reaming, and the more stable fixation method.in this study we had 3 cases of local complications, one had superficial infection that responded well to antibiotics. Where that other 2 had deep infection. There was no statistical significance percentage of the study.

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.14010.1276 Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(198-204) Supplement Issue In our present study, the 18cases treated with GAMMA NIAL included cases were of type Id, II, according Evan classification &. These mentioned classifications are easy to understand, recollect and apply. We found no cases with screw cut out, fracture below the tip of the nail There was 1 case of backout screw and 1 cases of superficial infection1 case of neck absorption and nonunion. **CONCLUSION:**

> Gamma nail provides a biomechanically stable construct, proved by minimal or negligible incidence of implant-related failuresand considerable percent satisfactory results.

Cases:

Case 1 with good results: 70 years old male patient sustained simple fall down had lift unstable trochanteric fracture Evan's type Id, fixation with Gamma 3 nail was done.





(A) : Pre-operative X-rays

(C) Follow up x-ray After 8 months.

Case 2 with good results: 62 years old male patient sustained simple fall down had left unstable trochanteric fracture Evan's type Id, fixation with Gamma 3 nail was done.

(A): Pre-operative X-rays







(C) Follow up x-ray After 8 months.

(B) : Immediate pos-oprative X-ray

REFERENCES:

1. Celiktas M, Togrul E, Kose O. Calcar preservation arthroplasty for unstable intertrochanteric femoral fractures in elderly. Clin Orthop Surg. 2015;7(4):436–42.

2. Lee WT, Murphy D, Kagda FHY, Thambiah J. Proximal femoral locking compression plate for proximal femoral fractures. J Orthop Surg. 2014;22(3):287–93.

3. Zhang L, Shen J, Chen S, Wu Z, Huang Z, He S, et al. Treatment of unstable intertrochanteric femoral fractures with locking gamma nail (LGN): A retrospective cohort study. Vol. 26, Int. J. Surg. 2016. p. 12–7.

4. Gotfried Y. Integrity of the lateral femoral wall in intertrochanteric hip fractures: an important predictor of a reoperation. JBJS. 2007;89(11):2552–3.

5. Chen T, Li K, Wang X, Lan H, Zhang J. Revision cause and effect of gamma nail fixation. Zhongguo xiu fu chong jian wai ke za zhi= Zhongguo xiufu chongjian waike zazhi= Chinese J reparative Reconstr Surg. 2010;24(1):78–81.

6. Valverde JA, Alonso MG, Porro JG, Rueda D, Larrauri PM, Soler JJ. Use of the gamma nail in the treatment of fractures of the proximal femur. J Orthop Trauma. 2003;17(8):S51–6.

7. Rosenblum SF, Zuckerman JD, Kummer FJ, Tam BS. A biomechanical evaluation of the Gamma nail. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1992;74(3):352–7.

8. Kotela I, Bołtuć W, Bednarenko M, Bilski P. The use of the gamma nail in fractures of the nearer end of femur. Przegl Lek. 2006;63:

9-Yadkikar S V, Yadkikar VS, Patel M, Dhruvilkumar G, Kunkulol R. Outcome of intertrochanteric fractures treated with short femoral nail. Int J Med Res Heal Sci. 2015;4(3):646–51.42–4.

10. Evans EM. The treatment of trochanteric fractures of the femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1949;31(2):190–203. **11**-Shah DP, Rathod SR. Study of clinical and functional outcomes of unstable intertrochanteric femur fractures treated with long proximal femoral nail. Int J Orthop. 2018;4(1):572–5.

12-Thiyageswaran J, Sudharsan S, Vignesh KA, Vijay KM. Short term analysis of functional outcome of intertrochanteric femur fracture treated by PFN-A2. Int J Orthop. 2018;4(3):380–3.

13. Dhar A, Sinha AK, Daolagupu AK, Mudgil A. Clinical study of outcome of unstable intertrochanteric fracture treated by proximal femoral nail: A prospective study. Int J Orthop. 2017;3(3):292–6.

14-Radaideh A, Qudah H, Audat Z, Jahmani R, Yousef I, Saleh A. Functional and Radiological Results of Proximal Femoral Nail Antirotation (PFNA) Osteosynthesis in the Treatment of Unstable Pertrochanteric Fractures. J Clin Med. 2018;7(4):78.

15-Shah FY, Gul IA, Kotwal HA. Gamma nail in the management of intertrochanteric fractures of femur in adults. Int Surg J. 2018;5(7):2487–92.

https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zumj.2020.14010.1276 Volume 28, Issue 6, November 2022(198-204) Supplement Issue

16-Halder SC. The Gamma nail for peritrochanteric fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1992;74(3):340–4.17-Leung KS, So WS, Shen WY, Hui PW. Gamma nails

and dynamic hip screws for peritrochanteric fractures. A randomised prospective study in elderly patients. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1992;74(3):345–51.

To Cite:

El-Negahy, A., El-Adawy, A., El-Hewala, T., Hreik, H. Outcome of Gamma Nail Fixation in Unstable Trochanteric Fracture of Femur. Zagazig University Medical Journal, 2022; (198-204): -. doi:10.21608/zumj.2020.14010.1276