


**Table 6:** Improvement distribution from different functional aspects

|                            |           | N          | %            |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| <b>Grip improvement</b>    | Excellent | <b>0</b>   | <b>0.0</b>   |
|                            | Very good | <b>9</b>   | <b>75</b>    |
|                            | Fair      | <b>3</b>   | <b>25</b>    |
|                            | Poor      | <b>0</b>   | <b>0.0</b>   |
| <b>Pinch improvement</b>   | Excellent | <b>0</b>   | <b>0.0</b>   |
|                            | Very good | <b>8</b>   | <b>66.7</b>  |
|                            | Good      | <b>4</b>   | <b>33.3</b>  |
|                            | Poor      | <b>0.0</b> | <b>0.0</b>   |
| <b>Clawing improvement</b> | No        | <b>10</b>  | <b>83.33</b> |
|                            | Yes       | <b>2</b>   | <b>16.67</b> |
|                            | Total     | <b>12</b>  | <b>100.0</b> |



# 1 year.mp4

Videos 1: 1 year post op