

**Table (1s ) ECG data between the study groups:**

	<b>Group (I)</b> <b>(n=25 )</b>	<b>Group (II)</b> <b>(n=35)</b>	<b>Test value</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Maximum ST Elevation</b> Mean $\pm$ SD	13.6 $\pm$ 8.65	15.51 $\pm$ 10.40	0.75	>0.05
<b>Sum STR</b> Mean $\pm$ SD	0.9334 $\pm$ .054	0.6544 $\pm$ .047	4.67	<b>&lt;0.05</b>
<b>&lt;70% STR n (%)</b>	6 (24%)	25 (71.4%)	6.2	<b>&lt; 0.05</b>
<b>MI territory</b>				
<b>Anterior n(%)</b>	18 (72%)	23 (65.7%)	2.4	>0.05
<b>Inferior n (%)</b>	5 (20%)	9 (25.7%)		
<b>Inferolateral n (%)</b>	1 (4%)	1 (2.9%)		
<b>Inferoposterior n (%)</b>	1 (4%)	2 (5.8%)		

SD: standard deviation , STR:ST resolution

**Table ( 2s ) :NT-pro BNP admission , and 3 month between the study groups**

<b>NT-pro BNP</b> <b>Pg\mL</b>	<b>Group (I)</b> <b>(n=25)</b>	<b>Group (II)</b> <b>(n=35)</b>	<b>Test value</b>	<b>p-value</b>
	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>	<b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b>		
<b>Admission time</b>	2645 $\pm$ 1321	3046 $\pm$ 1125	1.95	>0.05
<b>3 month follow up</b>	2449 $\pm$ 1452	3640 $\pm$ 1905	1.51	>0.05

SD:standard deviation, NT-pro BNP:N-terminal pro brain naturritic peptide

**Table (3s): admission time EDV, ESV , EF, GLS , E\A, E\e, VP , E\vp :in the study groups**

Admission time	Group (I) (n=25)	Group (II) (n=35)	Test value	p-value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD		
<b>EDV ml</b>	118.34±44.366	136.48 ±33.884	1.7	>0.05
<b>ESV ml</b>	51.40 ± 16.55	57.24 ± 15.38	1.3	>0.05
<b>EF %</b>	50.60 ± 12.33	48.52 ± 11.29	0.35	>0.05
<b>GLS %</b>	-16.916±2.29	-10.391±3.382	8.3	<b><u>&lt;0.001</u></b>
<b>E\A</b>	1.109±0.38	1.35±0.57	1.8	>0.05
<b>E\e</b>	11.48±5.70	15.43± 6.47	2.2	>0.05
<b>Vp cm\s</b>	48.4±14.5	40.80±17.51	0.7	>0.05
<b>E\vp</b>	1.6160 ± 0.904	1.7812 ± 0 .875	0.45	>0.05

EDV:end diastolic volume, ESV : end systolic volume , EF : ejection fraction , GLS: global longitudinal strain , Vp: velocity propagation , SD:standard deviation , E\A: ratio between E and A mitral filling velocity, E\e :ratio between E mitral filling velocity and mitral ring annular velocity

**Table (4s ) : 3 month follow up EDV, ESV , EF, E\A, E\e, VP , E\vp :in the study groups**

3 month follow up	Group (I) (n=25)	Group (II) (n=35)	Test value	p-value
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD		
EDV ml	111.08 ± 49.08	181.80 ± 38.53	3.1	<u>&lt;0.05</u>
ESV ml	54.11 ± 20.28	65.44±18.85	2.1	>0.05
EF %	53.94 ± 12.83	38.60 ± 12.20	-0.4	<u>&lt;0.05</u>
E\A	1.28 ± 0.146	2.106 ± 1.30	-2.4	>0.05
E\e	12.46 ± 5.69	18.23 ± 6.57	-1.6	>0.05
Vp cm\s	46.76 ±15.89	35.02 ±18.46	-1.1	>0.05
E\vp	1.7±0 .73	2.5± 0 .96	-0.17	>0.05

EDV:end diastolic volume, ESV : end systolic volume , EF : ejection fraction , GLS: global longitudinal strain , Vp: velocity propagation , SD:standard deviation , E\A: ratio between E and A mitral filling velocity, E\e :ratio between Emital filling velocity and mitral ring annular velocity

**Table (5s): Number of diseased vessel in the study groups**

Variable	Group (1) n= 25	Group (2) n= 35	Chi -square x	Sig
Single vessel disease	8 (32%)	10 (28.6 %)	0.03	>0.05
Two vessel disease	13 (52%)	11 (31.4%)	1.8	>0.05
Three vessel disease	4 (16%)	14 (40%)	4	<u>&lt; 0.001</u>

**Table (6s ): Baseline TIMI flow grades in the study groups**

<b>Baseline TIMI</b>	<b>Group (1) n= 25</b>	<b>Group (2) n= 35</b>	<b>Chi – square X</b>	<b>Sig</b>
<b>TIMI 0</b>	8 (32%)	16 (45.7 %)	2.2	<b>&gt; 0.05</b>
<b>TIMI 1</b>	13 (52%)	17(48.6%)		
<b>TIMI 2</b>	4 (16 %)	2 (5.7 %)		

TIMI:thrombolysis in myocardial infarction

**Table (7s): Post PPCI TIMI flow grades in the study groups**

<b>Post PPCI TIMI</b>	<b>Group (1) n= 25</b>	<b>Group (2) n= 35</b>	<b>Chi – square X</b>	<b>Sig</b>
<b>TIMI 2</b>	6 (24%)	6 (17.1 %)	0.4	<b>&gt; 0.05</b>
<b>TIMI 3</b>	19 (76%)	29 (82.9%)		

TIMI:thrombolysis in myocardial infarction , PPCI :Primary percutaneous intervention

**Table ( 8s ): showing MBG in the study groups:**

<b>MBG grades</b>	<b>Group (1) n= 25</b>	<b>Group (2) n= 35</b>	<b>Chi – square X</b>	<b>Sig</b>
<b>MBG 0\1</b>	1 (4%)	1 (2.9 %)	12.38	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>MBG 2</b>	5 (20%)	23 (65.7%)		
<b>MBG 3</b>	19 (76% )	11 (31.4 %)		

MBG:myocardial blush grade

**Figure (1s) : Bland –Altman plot test, to detect whether there is a difference between 2 measurements of GLS**

test value is non significant ( $p=0.411$ ), denoting no significant interobserver variability in GLS measurements

